Re-inventing speech – the biological way

Abstract
The mapping of the Speech Chain has so far been focused on the experimentally more accessible links – e.g., acoustics – whereas the brain’s activity during speaking and listening has understandably received less attention. That state of affairs is about to change now thanks to the new sophisticated tools offered by brain imaging technology.

At present many key questions concerning human speech processes remain incompletely understood despite the significant research efforts of the past half century. As speech research goes neuro, we could do with some better answers.

In this paper I will attempt to shed some light on some of the issues. I will do so by heeding the advice that Tinbergen\(^1\) once gave his fellow biologists on explaining behavior. I paraphrase: Nothing in biology makes sense unless you simultaneously look at it with the following questions at the back of your mind: How did it evolve? How is it acquired? How does it work here and now?

Applying the Tinbergen strategy to speech I will, in broad strokes, trace a path from the small and fixed innate repertoires of non-human primates to the open-ended vocal systems that humans learn today.

Such an agenda will admittedly identify serious gaps in our present knowledge but, importantly, it will also bring an overarching possibility:

It will strongly suggest the feasibility of bypassing the traditional linguistic operational approach to speech units and replacing it by a first-principles account anchored in biology.

I will argue that this is the road-map we need for a more profound understanding of the fundamental nature spoken language and for educational, medical and technological applications.
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