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ABSTRACT

We studied how American subjects learning Japanese perceive tonal accents under different experimental conditions. The perceptual experiment included 3 tests, each one containing 24 words consisting of 3, 4, and 5 moras with different tonal accents. Two groups of 54 American students were asked to detect words accent. An analysis of variance showed that test, mora, and accent type factors were highly significant. Results, by accent type, suggest that the perception of tonal accent seems to be mother tongue-dependent; Accent types corresponding to English intonation patterns were preferred.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Aim of the study

In speech, production and perception seem to work in parallel. However, this does not necessarily mean equal achievement in the two areas. It has been clearly shown that the perception of the sounds of foreign languages is significantly better than their production [1],[2] & [3]. Concerning language acquisition, many works have been done for segmentals [4] & [5], but prosodic investigation is still necessary. This aspect of perception is the concern of this study. In the following pages, we present results on the perception of Japanese tonal accent by English-speaking American adults. The picture obtained from these data is quite distinct from that for other languages.

2. EXPERIMENT

In order to get a better understanding of non-native learners perception of pitch variation on Japanese tonal accent, we examined the effects of (1) stimulus length and accent type, and (2) the superposition of intonation on tonal accent. Three tests were designed to study these parameters experimentally. We used 3-, 4-, and 5-mora words in combination with different tonal accents. In Test 1, words (and phrases) uttered with a declarative intonation. In Test 2, the words were extracted from sentences pronounced with two different intonation patterns (interrogative/ non interrogative). In Test 3, the words were presented in a carrier sentence. The accent types are labelled from 0 to 4, according to the absence of accent or location of the accent nucleus. Only V and CV moras were used, and the special moras were excluded.

A male native speaker from Tokyo made recordings in a soundproof room of the list of words to be used in Test 1 and Test 3. For Test 2, a female speaker read sentences like “sorewa X desu” (This is X.) or “Ja sorega X?” (Is this X?), from which the target stimulus (X) was extracted. In order to avoid a clicking sound at the point where the stimulus was truncated, the intensity was decreased by 20 dB over 20 ms. For Test 3 the carrier sentence “Watasiwa X toitta” (I said X) was used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accent type</th>
<th>3 moras</th>
<th>4 moras</th>
<th>5 moras</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accent type 0: L-H-H (or H*)</td>
<td>L-H-H-H (or H*)</td>
<td>L-H-H-H-H (or H*)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accent type 1: H*-L-L</td>
<td>H*-L-L-L</td>
<td>H*-L-L-L-L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accent type 2: L-H*-L</td>
<td>L-H*-L-L</td>
<td>L-H*-L-L-L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accent type 3: L-H-H*-L</td>
<td>L-H-H*-L-L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accent type 4: L-H-H*-H*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The twelve accent types used in the tests.
L=low mora, H=high mora & H*=accented high mora.

The recording was then digitized (20 kHz/16 bits) for Fo detection. After segmentation, the signal was examined visually and aurally using a signal editor, and the best target words were selected. The output volume was normalized so that all stimuli would have a comparable auditory intensity. The stimuli were downloaded onto magnetic tapes containing the three separate tests. A presentation and explanation of the tests and some practice exercises (10 minutes) were recorded by a professional radio announcer. The two parts together were made into a cassette lasting 25 minutes.

Each stimulus was played once and then a second time one second later. This was followed by a 7-second interstimulus interval during which the subjects wrote down their answers. On the answer sheet, the words that would be heard were written in “hiragana” (mora notation) in their order of presentation. Subjects responded by placing a mark above and to the right of the mora on which they felt they heard the beginning of a pitch fall. The tests took place in a language laboratory.

The experiment was run in 1994 and 1995. The 1994 group included 29 students and the 1995 group, 25. Their mean age was 20 and all had studied Japanese in their own country for approximately two years before coming to Japan.

3. RESULTS

For all three tests, an analysis of variance indicated that the two subject groups did not differ significantly. On the other
hand, the mora factor had a very significant effect (F(2, 321) = 25.88, P < 0.0001) and the test factor was also highly significant (F(2, 468) = 15.48, P < 0.0001), but post-hoc pair-wise comparisons showed that only Test 2 was significantly different from other tests.

3.1 Test 1: Declarative context

The overall score on Test 1 was 63%, which was the best of the three tests. The highest score was obtained for stimuli without an accent nucleus (accent type 0). Accent type 2 (high tone on second mora only) came in second place. By contrast, accent types 3 and 4 were poorly perceived. The following curves illustrate these accent types (Figure 1).

In an attempt to detect an underlying structure in the data, we divided the subjects into three skill levels on the basis of their overall test scores. This made three groups of 18 subjects, called Levels I, II, and III. The overall score of three tests is 42% for Level I, 59% for Level II, and 73% for Level III. Figure 2 shows the score on Test 1 for each stimulus accent type and skill level. Despite differences across levels,
3 and 4.

In Table 2 where the stimuli are listed in ascending order of error frequency, all stimuli with accent types 0, 2 and 1 are at the top of the list. Looking more closely at the stimuli and their acoustic properties, we can see the pitch difference between the high tone and the low tone is not perceived as such. There are various reasons why the pitch fall may not have been perceived. One explanation might be that accented vowels in American English are much longer than in Japanese [6] & [7]. For native speakers of such a language, it may be difficult to perceive a distinctive phenomenon between two vowels whose duration is shorter than that of one stressed vowel in their own language.

3.2 Test 2: Intonative context
The overall score on Test 2 was 52%, which was the lowest of the three tests. Interrogative intonation promoted correct tonal accent detection (52%) compared to non-interrogative intonation (48%), although this difference was not significant. However, the mora factor had a highly significant effect ($F_{(2, 321)}=25.89$, $P<.0001$). The accent type factor was also significant ($F_{(4, 255)}=114.15$, $P<.0001$).

As a whole, the same tendencies as in Test 1 were observed: accent types 0, 2, and 1 were well perceived and accent types 3 and 4 were poorly perceived. Looking more closely at the stimuli and their acoustic properties, the pitch difference between the high tone and the low tone is not perceived as such. There are various reasons why the pitch fall may not have been perceived. One explanation might be that accented vowels in American English are much longer than in Japanese [6] & [7]. For native speakers of such a language, it may be difficult to perceive a distinctive phenomenon between two vowels whose duration is shorter than that of one stressed vowel in their own language.

The errors can be accounted for by the fact that the pitch fall was smaller than in the other tests. A faster speech rate was used to produce the large number of moras in the carrier sentence. This also caused a narrowing of the Fo range, so the pitch fall was not as pronounced.

4. DISCUSSION
Despite individual variability, some degree of overall regularity was observed here for each accent type. When compared with the results of learners from other linguistic communities, we can see that this tendency is specific to Americans. Figure 5 illustrates this by showing the results obtained for subjects from three other countries: Korea, China, and France [8], [9], [10] & [12]. The Korean curve pertains to the results of thirty students tested in Pusan. The Chinese were 23 Pekinese students living in the Tokyo area. The 74 French subjects were students from Toulouse, Paris, and Angers.

Why then is there a general tone perception tendency specific to Americans? Theoretically, accent in English - a "stress accent" language - is marked by an increase in the degree of "force". In terms of acoustic parameters, this corresponds to a pitch peak [13], a prominent intensity, and vowel lengthening. Tonal accent in Japanese involves the opposing type of phenomenon, i.e. a more or less abrupt decrease in Fo. The difficulty experienced by English speakers is predictable.

Indeed, our analysis of the errors in relation to the acoustic properties of the stimuli pointed out that American learners looking for a pitch peak of the contour have trouble perceiving the falling pitch pattern of tonal accent.

5. CONCLUSION
We analyzed the perception of tonal accent by Americans learning Japanese, in order to pinpoint some general and underlying tendencies of the perceptual constraints imposed by their native language. The perception test results clearly showed that not all accent types are perceived equally well. Each type of accent appears to trigger a specific behavior which differs from that observed in native speakers of other languages. The results also showed that depending on the skill of the learners, the general tendencies encompass the sub-components, which are linked to both the acoustic properties of the stimuli and the subjects' interpretations based on their native language.
Figure 5. Comparison of four different linguistic groups [8], [9], [10] & [12]. Y axis: accent type.
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