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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we examine robust feature extraction methods for automatic speech recognition (ASR) in noise-distorted environments. Several perceptually experiments have shown that the range between 1 and 16 Hz of modulation frequency band is important for human speech recognition. Furthermore it has been reported the same modulation frequency band is important for ASR. Combining the coefficients of multi-resolutional Fourier transform to split the important modulation frequency band for ASR into several bands especially increased recognition performance. Combining coefficients of a multi-resolutional Fourier transform corresponds to a wavelet transform. To test the effectiveness and efficiency of the wavelet transform, we, therefore, applied the wavelet transform to recognition experiments. This approach yielded an average of 3\% increase in recognition accuracy compared to the standard approach using mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC) in several noise-distorted environments.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years the technology for automatic speech recognition (ASR) has been progressing. Still needed way is to extract feature which is effective in any kind of environment, even a noise-distorted environment. Arai et al. \cite{1} conducted perceptual experiments for syllable intelligibility. The result indicated that the range between 1 and 16 Hz of modulation frequency band is important for speech recognition.

Further, Kanedera et al. \cite{2} have reported that the band between 1 and 16 Hz modulation frequency, especially between 2 and 10 Hz, is important for ASR. For feature extraction Kanedera et al. \cite{3} calculated for the time trajectories of perceptual linear prediction (PLP) coefficients two levels of resolution coefficient of fast Fourier transform (FFT) using high-resolution and low-resolution FFTs. In their paper they reported that extracting coefficients from the high-resolution corresponds to a modulation frequency band around 2.5 Hz and, around 5 and 7.5 Hz from the low-resolutional FFT coefficients increased the recognition accuracy. It yields a narrower modulation frequency band for lower frequency and a wider one for higher frequency. We call this method of feature extraction “modulation Fourier transform” (modulation FT).

The wavelet transform allows us to carry out the modulation FT. We compared the recognition accuracy of the wavelet transform with the standard approach using MFCC or PLP in both clean and noise-distorted environments. The modulation wavelet which we used is described in Section 2, the experiment is described in Section 3. The result is described in Section 4.

2. MODULATION WAVELET

Discrete Fourier transform (DFT) has sine and cosine as its base functions. The discrete cosine transform (DCT) has only the cosine as its base function. Whereas the DFT-based approach divides the modulation frequency linearly, the wavelet transform divides the modulation frequency band non-linearly in an effective and efficient way. In the wavelet transform we can define a suitable base function which can well express the signal. The base function is called the ‘mother wavelet.’

In the wavelet transform the mother wavelet is elastic length called ‘scale.’ The mother wavelet is shifted by a value called ‘translate’. The combination of ‘scale’ and ‘translate’ express the signal.

The general formula for on wavelet transform is:

$$\psi_{a, b}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \psi \left( \frac{t - b}{a} \right)$$
This formula was translated by the mother wavelet $\psi\left(\frac{x-a}{b}\right)$. In this formula $a$ is 'scale' and $b$ is 'translate'.

We divide the modulation frequency band using the wavelet transform. In this study, we used scales that divide the important modulation frequency band logarithmically into two to five segments.

Fig. 1 shows an example of dividing the modulation frequency band in logarithmically into three parts. The wavelet transform has a high-resolutional frequency characteristic for low frequency and a low-resolutional frequency characteristic for high frequency. Thus the wavelet transform works more effectively and efficiently than the multi-resolutional FFT (Fig. 2).

### 3. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

We conducted speech recognition experiments using the wavelet transform to extract important modulation frequency bands for the time trajectories of PLP coefficients. We used PLP coefficients because the feature filtered time trajectories of PLP coefficients outperformed that of MFCC [4]. The conditions are shown in Table 1.

The scales were selected to divide logarithmically the range for 2 to 10 Hz of modulation frequency logarithmically as shown in Table 2. The HMM ToolKit (HTK [6]) was used to train for six states and two mixture components per state.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

Figure 1: Dividing the modulation frequency band into 3 parts (meyer).

![Figure 2](image2.png)

Figure 2: Dividing into the multi-resolutional band in logarithmically into 3 parts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Conditions of ASR experiments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0-9, zero, oh, yes, no)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 speakers, 13 words in each speaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sampling frequency</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frame period</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Window length</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(75 males and 75 females)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Test</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(25 males and 25 females)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We used a set of noise (babble, buccaneer1, buccaneer2, destroyerengine, destroyerops, f16, factory1, factory2, lifchannel, leopard, ml09, machingun, pink, volvo, white) in the NOISEX-92 database [5]. The test data were degraded by additive noise (SNR 10dB).

At first we conducted ASR experiments totally splitting into 2, 3, 4, 5 bands. In the recognition experiment of modulation wavelet, the type of mother wavelet used was 'meyer'. The type of noise was 'babble' noise.

Next, we conducted the experiments applying several types of the mother wavelets. The types of mother wavelets were 'mexican hat', 'haar', and 'meyer'. Three bands were used to divide the important modulation-frequency band.
4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

4.1. Comparing modulation wavelet and standard methods

We conducted ASR experiments to compare modulation wavelet method with standard methods in a clean environment and in noise-distorted environment. In the noise-distorted environment 'babble' noise was used. For comparison, we also conducted experiments using MFCC + delta, PLP + delta, and modulation FT. In the recognition experiment using modulation wavelet, the type of mother modulation wavelet used was 'meyer'. The modulation wavelet divided the important modulation frequency range (about 2 to 10 Hz) logarithmically into 2, 3, 4, and 5 bands.

The results are shown in Table 3 and Figure 3. Under the babble noise environment, the modulation wavelet divided into 3 bands gave a smaller error rate than conventional feature extraction methods such as MFCC + delta, PLP + delta, and modulation FT. The modulation wavelet method gave 17.9% error rate. This rate is better than that of any other standard methods (MFCC + delta, PLP + delta, and modulation FT). For the modulation wavelet, we used the modulation bands around 2, 4, and 8 Hz on the center frequency, while we used the modulation bands centered at 2.5, 5, and 7.5 Hz for the modulation FT. The results indicates that the modulation wavelet outperforms modulation FT methods in a noisy environment. These results also indicates that three bands are necessary in the modulation frequency domain.

4.2. Mother wavelets

We applied several types of mother wavelets to 2-band and 3-band division in clean and 'babble' noise-distorted environments. The types of mother wavelets, we used, were 'morlet', 'mexican hat', 'haar', and 'biorthogonal'.

4.3. Various noise environments

We applied several types of noise to the modulation wavelet. The types of mother wavelets were 'mexican hat', 'haar', and 'meyer.' Three bands were used to divide the important modulation-frequency band. These results are shown in Table 5. This approach yielded an average of 3% increase in recognition experiments.
Table 4: Word error rates by wavelet transform with several mother wavelets. (the case of dividing into 2 bands).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2 bands</th>
<th>3 bands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>clean</td>
<td>babbke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meyer</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>morlet</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mexican hat</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>haar</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biorthogonal (3.7)</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 4: The modulation wavelet with several wavelet(2,3 bands)

5. CONCLUSIONS

For feature extraction in ASR we examined robust feature extraction methods. We compared the modulation wavelet with standard methods such as MFCC, PLP and modulation FT. The new method gave better recognition than the standard approach.
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