Spectral Moment vs. Bark Cepstral Analysis of Children’s Word-initial Voiceless Stops
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Abstract
Spectral moments analysis has been shown to be effective in deriving acoustic features for classifying voiceless stop release bursts [1], and is an analysis method that has commonly been cited in the clinical phonetics literature dealing with children’s disordered speech. In this study, we compared the classification of stops /p/, /t/, and /k/ based on spectral moments with classification based on an equal number of Bark Cepstrum coefficients. Utterance-initial /p/, /t/, and /k/ (1338 samples in all) were collected from a database of children’s speech. Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) was used to classify the three stops based on four analysis frames from the initial 40 msec of each token. The best classification based on spectral moments used all four spectral moment features (computed from bark-scaled spectra) and all four time intervals and yielded 75.6% correct classification. The best classification based on Bark cepstrum yielded 83.4% correct also using four coefficients and four time frames.

1. Introduction
Spectral moments analysis has become a popular method of analysis for obstruent segments, especially in the literature on clinical phonetics [1-5]. Spectral moments are derived by treating the normalized discrete spectral magnitude as a probability distribution. The normalization ensures that the sum of the spectrum magnitudes is 1.0 and that all individual spectral magnitudes fall in the range 0 < s < 1. Following normalization, the spectral moments (L1 ÷ L4) are computed as:

\[ L_1 = \sum_j f_j s_j \]
\[ L_2 = \sum_j (f_j - L_1)^2 s_j \]
\[ L_3 = \sum_j (f_j - L_1)^3 s_j \]
\[ L_4 = \sum_j (f_j - L_1)^4 s_j \]

where the \( f_j \) are the discrete frequencies at which spectral magnitude is measured and the \( s_j \) are the spectral magnitude values. Additionally, in the method described in [1], the third and fourth moments are further transformed to a non-dimensional form by removing their dependence on the first moment.

The argument presented originally in [1] for preferring spectral moments features over other features of spectral shape was that the relative and non-dimensional nature of spectral moments allowed them to better capture the important spectral shape features in a manner that varied less across talkers and individual utterance tokens. Indeed, the results reported in [1] suggested that spectral moments afforded more accurate classification of instances of stop bursts than did acoustic feature sets derived from LPC analyses. Subsequent work suggests that spectral moments do not provide an adequate characterization of vowels and continuents [6], but for obstruent and stop spectra spectral moments appear to capture adequate information to support segment classification and even to distinguish subtle differences between normal and distorted segment productions [2].

Another advantage of using spectral moment-based acoustic features is that their computation is straightforward and unambiguous. This is particularly and advantage when compared to formant frequency estimates and some types of LPC analyses, which can produce unstable filter estimates in some cases. However, it is a characteristic shared by many other acoustic analysis techniques, notably the cepstral analysis techniques commonly used in the speech recognition literature [7]. Perceptually weighted (Mel or Bark scaled) cepstral features are the basis for acoustic feature sets in a majority of recent ASR publications and clearly provide useful characterizations of speech acoustics for vowels and continuents as well as obstruents.

While cepstrum-based acoustic feature sets share the advantage of easy calculation with moments-based features, and have an advantage in being able to capture useful spectral features for a wider variety of phonetic segments, they may share with LPC analyses the disadvantage of having greater sensitivity to irrelevant speaker differences than do moments-based features. If so, it is possible that moments-based features will generally be found to be superior to cepstral-based features for classifying obstruents.

To date, there do not appear to be any reports directly comparing spectral moments with cepstral features for classification of obstruents. To fill this gap, the present study directly compared cepstral features and spectral moments features for the classification of burst spectra from utterance-initial voiceless plosives /p/, /t/, and /k/.

Before describing the study, however, it is useful to briefly consider some additional factors that motivated our interest in...
In particular, one factor that motivated the current study was the realization that virtually all the published reports involving the use of spectral moments analyses have been based on a relatively small number of individual talkers and speech tokens. Studies related to speech recognition over the last 10 to 20 years have repeatedly reinforced the realization that large numbers of talkers and tokens should be observed if one is to develop a stable statistical characterization of speech acoustics. Thus, published accounts of classification accuracy for spectral moment features may substantially overestimate the accuracy that would be observed for a larger sample of talkers and tokens. To address this concern, we analyzed tokens from a group of around 200 children aged 6 to 8 whose recordings had been sampled for a children’s speech database. This is, we believe, the largest study so far conducted that compares spectral moments analyses with another analysis method.

2. Method

2.1. Subjects

The subjects involved in this study were a group of 208 children, whose ages ranged from six to eight years old. Each subject recorded a series of 100 individual English words in isolation for a corpus of children’s speech that was recorded as part of an unrelated project in the Speech Research Laboratory at the A. I. duPont Hospital for Children in Wilmington, Delaware.

2.2. Stimuli

Burst segments were extracted for the voiceless stop consonants /p/, /t/, and /k/ from the corpus of speech obtained from the subjects. Bursts were only extracted from voiceless stop consonants occurring in word-initial position and an attempt was made to balance phonemic context such that for each class of voiceless stop, the number and type of following phonemes occurred in roughly equal numbers. This resulted in a balanced set with 446 bursts to be analyzed for each stop.

Each burst that was extracted was aligned so that the burst started at 20 msec from the beginning of the waveform file (see Figure 1 for an example). This was accomplished automatically by a program that recognized the burst in the original waveform file (containing the recording of the full word) and copied only the burst to a second waveform file, padding silence to the beginning and end of the file to ensure that the burst began 20 msec from the file onset and that the total file was 100 msec long. After the program extracted the burst segments, each file was examined manually to verify that it contained a correctly aligned /p/, /t/, or /k/ burst segment. Any alignment errors detected in this process were hand-corrected.

2.3. Procedure

Two acoustic analysis techniques were applied to the burst data. First, the moments program [8] was used to derive measures of the mean, skewness, kurtosis, and variance in a sequence of four frames based on 20 msec windows beginning with a frame centered on the burst release and stepping through the subsequent friction and aspiration in 10 msec steps. The moments program computes measures for both linear frequency and Bark frequency spectral representations.

The second acoustic analysis duplicated the framing parameters of the moments analysis using a Bark Cepstrum analysis program developed locally. In this analysis, six cepstral coefficients (DC and first five cosine terms) were estimated for each frame. The analysis program computes log energy in each of 32 bands evenly spaced on a Bark scale. Each band is triangular in shape and overlaps adjacent bands by 50%.

Parameters from both acoustic analyses were used in a linear discriminant analysis (LDA) with the stop consonant (/p/, /t/, or /k/) as the grouping variable. In addition to doing separate LDA analyses for the linear and Bark frequency moments, these data were run with and without the use of variance as a
variable in the analysis. Analyses reported in [1] and subsequent reports often omit use of the variance component as not making a significant independent contribution to classification.

3. Results

For /p/, the percentage of phonemes correctly classified using linear moments and input variables of mean, skewness, kurtosis, and variance was 62.3, 71.1, 72.6, and 72.9% for the first, first plus second, first, second and third, and all four time frames of the burst respectively. When Bark-scaled moments were used in the analysis, the percent correct classification at these intervals was 73.3, 83.9, 82.1, and 80.5%, with classification accuracy dropping somewhat when the fourth frame was added into the analysis. When variance was excluded as a variable in the analysis, the percent correct for linear moments was 57.6, 67.3, 70.2, and 71.1% and Bark-scaled moments yielded 78.0, 78.9, 76.9, and 77.4 percent correct. Thus, for /p/, the best classification accuracy was obtained with variance included and using only the first three of the four analysis frames.

The percentage of the phoneme, /t/, correctly classified using linear moments and input variables of mean, skewness, kurtosis, and variance was 69.5, 87.0, 86.3, and 86.5% for the first, first+second, first+second+third, and all four frames of the burst respectively. When Bark-scaled moments were used in the analysis, the percent correct classification at these intervals was 69.1, 81.8, 82.1, and 80.7%. When variance was excluded as a variable in the analysis, the percent correct for linear moments was 69.5, 86.5, 86.1, and 85.9% and Bark-scaled moments yielded 70.2, 84.5, 85.0, and 84.5 percent correct. Thus, for /t/, the best classification accuracy was obtained for the LDA using all four moments (linear scale), but only two analysis frames, the first and second.

For the phoneme /k/, the percent correctly classified using linear moments and input variables of mean, skewness, kurtosis, and variance was 57.6, 61.2, 64.1, and 64.6% for the first 20, 30, 40, and 50ms of the burst respectively. When Bark-scaled moments were used in the analysis, the percent correct classification at these intervals was 57.2, 60.8, 61.4, and 65.7%. When variance was excluded as a variable in the analysis, the percent correct for linear moments was 58.5, 64.1, 61.7, and 62.8% and Bark-scaled moments yielded 51.1, 54.3, 54.7, and 61.7 percent correct. For /k/, best performance was obtained with all four (linear) moments and all four time intervals combined.

These data collapsed across phoneme are shown in Table 1. As this table indicates, the best observed classification (75.6% correct) was obtained using all four bark-scaled moments at all four analysis frames.

Table 1. Percentage correct LDA classification. Data are those of Table 1, averaged over phoneme identity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Burst Only</th>
<th>Burst+ 10</th>
<th>Burst+ 10+20</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear with Variance</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>74.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bark with Variance</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear w/o Variance</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>73.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bark w/o Variance</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows the results of corresponding LDA analyses using Bark Cepstral coefficients. In the first two rows of this table, percentage correct classification is shown separately for each stop (row 1) and averaged over phonemes (row 2). Since there were six Cepstral coefficients and only four spectral moments, it was possible that the generally better performance of the Bark Cepstral features was due to the larger number of degrees of freedom for the analysis. Consequently, a final LDA was run in which only four Bark Cepstral coefficients were used. The four chosen were those that carried the greatest weight in the 6-term LDA analysis (the zeroeth or DC component, plus the second, fourth and fifth coefficients). Combined over the four time frames, these four coefficients supported LDA classification of the stop bursts with an overall accuracy of 83.0 percent correct, just slightly lower than the six-term solution.

4. Discussion

As with previous analyses of stop release bursts (e.g., [1, 8]), we found that information in successive analysis frames distributed over the release burst contributes independently to accurate classification of stops. Using multiple analysis frames leads to better classification than is available from any single analysis frame. Unlike the initial reports of spectral moment analyses [1] which indicated that variance did not contribute to classification accuracy, we found generally better classification accuracy when all four moments were used. Our results also differed from the original report in finding that the Bark features lead to slightly better overall performance than did linear frequency based moments. It is most likely that the much larger number of individual talkers and overall number of tokens is responsible for the difference we observed in whether variance contributed significantly to classification accuracy, if only because the much larger N provides much greater power to detect small differences. The larger N cannot account for the finding in the present analysis that Bark rather than linear frequency scales lead to better performance.

Perhaps the most important result of the present analyses, however, is the finding that Bark Cepstral features perform better than do spectral moments in overall classification accuracy. Our laboratory is presently developing normative
monophone HMMs for segments uttered by young children. These models, which are based on our Bark Cepstrum features, are used to assess progress in speech training for children with speech disorders. Based on the results of the present study, we suspect that the Bark Cepstrum features will afford better classification of children's speech accuracy that would be available using spectral moments despite the prevalence of that analysis approach in much of the clinical speech literature.

The clinical speech literature contains many large N studies of speech and language development in children, but clinical acoustic phonetic studies—those involving instrumental assessment of detailed speech acoustics—have generally focused on individual case studies or studies involving a relatively small number of tokens produced by a relatively small number of subjects. Lessons learned from the last two decades of research on automatic speech recognition lead to the realization that large numbers of talkers and tokens are probably needed if one is going to accurately characterize the statistical properties of speech acoustics for a language. We see this study as one preliminary step toward identifying the best methods to use in approaching large N studies of clinical linguistic relevance.
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