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Abstract
This paper describes a multi-pitch tracking algorithm of 1-channel simultaneous multiple speech. The algorithm selectively carries out the two alternative processes at each frame: frame-independent-process and frame-dependent-process. The former is the one we have previously proposed\[6\], that gives good estimates of the number of speakers and \( F_0 \)s with a single-frame-processing. The latter corresponds to the topic mainly described in this paper, that recursively tracks \( F_0 \)s using nonlinear Kalman filtering. We tested our algorithm on simultaneous speech signal data and showed higher performance than when the frame-independent-process was only used.

1. Introduction
1-channel multi-pitch estimation technique may contribute to various applications, such as spontaneous dialogue speech recognition, that allows competitive speech, noise robust speech recognition, especially where noise is a harmonic signal (e.g., telephone ring, background music, etc.), and also many music applications. However, multi-pitch estimation of non-stationary signals is hardly simple due to the complex factors such as spectral overlap, poor frequency resolution and spectral widening in short-time analysis, etc. Various approaches concerning to this problem have conventionally been attempted [1, 2, 3], while two important tasks have been left unsolved. Firstly, there has been no robust way of estimating the number of speakers, and most of the methods were obliged to assume for simplicity that the number is known \textit{a priori}. Secondly, the double/half (harmonics/subharmonics) pitch error has still been one of the most critical problem where convincing solutions are not yet proposed. One may say both problems share the same difficulty of defining physically or mathematically proper criteria. Until now, we have proposed a GMM (Gaussian mixture model)-based multi-pitch estimation algorithm that works as a single-frame-processing and gives solutions to the two tasks stated above according to the information criterion\[6\]. This algorithm has not yet taken into account any time dependency property, that would ensure the improvements in performance. In this paper we incorporate a procedure based on nonlinear Kalman filtering, that effectively reflects the local time dependency property of \( F_0 \) pattern.

2. Formulation of the Harmonic GMM
2.1. Gaussian Modeling of Multi-Pitch Spectrum
Our approach is fundamentally based on modeling of the observed spectrum by a conditional function model, that characterizes the “harmonicity” of periodic sounds. For instance, a single harmonic structure is modeled by a tied Gaussian mixture model (harmonic GMM), of which their means are constrained by the \( F_0 \) estimate parameter (see Fig1). The means of the \( k \)th harmonic GMM are denoted as \( \mu_k = \{ \mu_k, \cdots, n \mu_k, \cdots, N_k \mu_k \} \) where \( \mu_k \) ideally corresponds to the \( F_0 \) of \( k \)th sound and \( n \) and \( N_k \) are the index and the number of Gaussians (partials). We can model multi-pitch spectrum by a mixture of multiple harmonic GMMs (multi-pitch spectral model). Let the multi-pitch spectral model be a probabilistic density function \( p(\omega|\theta) \) whose model parameter \( \theta \) is

\[
\theta = \{ \mu_k, w_k, \sigma_k | k \in \mathbb{N}, k = 1, \cdots, K \},
\]

where \( w_k \) and \( \sigma_k \) indicate the weight and variance parameters of the respective Gaussians, that represent the relative powers of frequency components and the degrees of spectral widening.

2.2. Model Parameter Estimation
By considering the (normalized) observed spectrum \( y(\omega) \) being a statistical distribution of imaginary micro-energy particle, minimization of Kullback-Leibler (KL) information between \( y(\omega) \) and the multi-pitch spectral model
where the random variables $F$ differential equation (3), phrase can be modeled as a state process that follows the We apply a recursive solution to the multi-pitch temporal trajectory estimation within a certain length of time segment, in which the number of speakers is already known or estimated and also assumed to be constant.

### 3.1. Nonlinear Kalman Filtering

Nonlinear Kalman filtering[5], referred to here, is a suboptimal solution to the state estimation of a discrete-time controlled process that has a state vector $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{K}$ and governed by the nonlinear stochastic differential equation,

$$
\Theta_{i+1} = f(\Theta_i, \xi_i) \quad \text{(3)}
$$

$$
Y_{i+1} = h(\Theta_{i+1}, v_{i+1}), \quad \text{(4)}
$$

where the random variables $\xi_i$ and $v_i$ represent the process and observation noise. The nonlinear function $f$ in the differential equation relates the state at the current time step $i$ to the state at the future time step $i+1$, and $h$ relates the state $\Theta_i$ to the observation $Y_i$.

### 3.2. Stochastic Modeling of Multi-Pitch Trajectory

Suppose $F_0$ trajectory in a certain segment of speech phrase can be modeled as a state process that follows the differential equation (3), $F_0$ can be recursively estimated along time steps by using nonlinear Kalman filtering principle. To begin with, we define a state $\Theta_i$ as a vector of $F_0$ estimates and their derivatives,

$$
\Theta_i = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_i \\ \dot{\mu}_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{k}^{(i)} & \ldots & \mu_{k}^{(i)} \\ \mu_{k}^{(i)} & \ldots & \mu_{k}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(5)}
$$

where the number of speakers is $K$. Note that the weight parameters should also be included in the state vector to make the estimation more robust, for simplicity, we focus only on the behaviour of $F_0$s. Each element of the observation vector $Y_i = \{y_i, y_{i-1}, y_{i-2}, \ldots\}$ signifies an observed spectrum distribution at each time step.

### 3.3. Formulation

A linearised approximation of equation (3) together with statistical estimation approach offers a reliable solution to the nonlinear problem. Now we have a new differential equation notation as below.

$$
\Theta_{i+1} = A\Theta_i + B\xi_i \quad \text{(6)}
$$

Let $A$ be a first order dynamics, given as

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \Delta t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{(7)}
$$

and $B\xi_i$ be a zero-mean Gaussian noise, whose variances corresponding to $\mu_i$ and $\dot{\mu}_i$ are $\nu^2$ and $\eta^2$. The observation equation (4) can be interpreted, in a probabilistic sense, as a likelihood function $p(y_{i+1}|\Theta_{i+1})$, that is obtained by a given spectrum with the multi-pitch spectral model (harmonic GMM mixture) as stated in section 2.

The $a$ posteriori density function $p(\Theta_{i+1}|Y_{i+1})$ can be estimated by $p(\Theta_{i}|Y_i)$, via two update equations: time update ("predictor") equations and observation update ("corrector") equations.

#### 3.3.1. Time update step

In this step, the $a$ posteriori density function $p(\Theta_i|Y_i)$ at the current time step is modified into the $a$ priori density function $p(\Theta_{i+1}|Y_i)$ at the future time step $i+1$.

If the state sequence $\Theta_0, \ldots, \Theta_i$ follows a first order Markov process, we obtain the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation, which is given by

$$
p(\Theta_{i+1}|Y_{i}) = \int p(\Theta_{i+1}|\Theta_i)p(\Theta_i|Y_i)d\Theta_i. \quad \text{(8)}
$$

$F_0$ and its derivative of the $k$th speaker $\mu_k^{(i)}$, $\mu_k^{(i)}$, $\dot{\mu}_k^{(i)}$ is usually independent of those of other speakers $\mu_{k'}^{(i)}$, $\mu_{k'}^{(i)}$, $\dot{\mu}_{k'}^{(i)}$ ($k' \neq k$), so that $p(\Theta_{i+1}|\Theta_i)$ is expressed as a joint distribution of every $p(\mu_{k}^{(i)}|\mu_k^{(i)})$ with respect to $k$. The transition density function $p(\mu_{k}^{(i+1)}|\mu_{k}^{(i)})$ can be further separated into

$$
p(\mu_{k}^{(i+1)}|\mu_{k}^{(i)}) = p(\mu_{k}^{(i+1)}|\mu_{k}^{(i)} p(\mu_{k}^{(i+1)}|\mu_{k}^{(i)}) \quad \text{(9)}
$$

where each term is explicitly given as

$$
p(\mu_{k}^{(i+1)}|\mu_{k}^{(i)}, \mu_{k}^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu^2}} \exp \left( \frac{(\mu_{k}^{(i+1)} - \mu_{k}^{(i)})^2}{2\nu^2} \right) \quad \text{(10)}
$$

$$
p(\mu_{k}^{(i+1)}|\mu_{k}^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\eta^2}} \exp \left( \frac{(\mu_{k}^{(i+1)} - \mu_{k}^{(i)})^2}{2\eta^2} \right) \quad \text{(11)}
$$

from the equation (6) and (7).

We can simply reduce the computation of equation (8), that requires 2-dimensional convolution operation, without losing its essence by the decomposition

$$
p(\Theta_{i+1}|Y_{i}) = p(\mu_{i+1}|Y_{i})p(\mu_{i+1}\mu_{i+1}, Y_{i}) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} p(\mu_{k}^{(i+1)}|Y_{i})p(\mu_{k}^{(i+1)}|\mu_{k}^{(i+1)}, Y_{i}) \quad \text{(12)}
$$

and the independent computation of each element. The state prediction of the next time step $p(\Theta_{i+1}|Y_{i})$, i.e., the $a$ priori density at the future time step $i+1$, can consequently be computed.

#### 3.3.2. Observation update step

In this step, the $a$ priori density function $p(\Theta_{i+1}|Y_{i})$ is modified into the $a$ posteriori density function $p(\Theta_{i+1}|Y_{i+1})$ at the future time step $i+1$ according to the appearance of a new observation $y_{i+1}$. $p(\Theta_{i+1}|Y_{i+1})$ can be derived from Bayes' theorem:
The recursive procedure of updating \( p(\Theta_{t+1}|y_i) \) to \( p(\Theta_{t+1}|Y_i) \), via two steps described above, suboptimally estimates the overall \( F_0 \) trajectories of a certain segment of multiple concurrent speech.

4. The Proposed Algorithm

The algorithm broadly consists of the frame-independent-process\([6]\) (Akae Information Criterion\([4]\) based approach), and the frame-dependent-process (the Kalman filtering based approach stated in section 3).

4.1. AIC Based Frame-Independent-Process

At a discontinuous part of \( F_0 \) trajectory or a time step where a competitive speaker appears/disappears (a phrase boundary or a speech onset/offset), \( F_0 \) and the number of speakers should be estimated without information of previous time step. Let us give a brief review of our previous work, that provides good estimation of the number of concurrent sounds and \( F_0 \) with a single frame processing\([6]\) through 2-phase procedure: estimation of the number of speakers and estimation of \( F_0 \) positions.

4.1.1. Phase 1: Estimation of the number of speakers

An underfit spectral model that has smaller number of harmonic GMMs than the actual number of concurrent sounds (speakers), obviously gives extremely small maximum likelihood in comparison with the ‘bestfit’ model that has exactly the same number of harmonic GMMs as the actual number. On the other hand, an overfit model, that has larger number of harmonic GMMs than the actual number, gives somewhat larger but almost the same maximum likelihood, despite of the advantage in terms of the number of free parameters (harmonic GMMs) (see Fig 2). We can estimate the number of speakers by the iteration; AIC calculation after the EM algorithm convergence and deletion of the least-weighted harmonic GMM, that begins with a large number of harmonic GMMs and stops when AIC takes local minimum value.

4.1.2. Phase 2: Estimation of the proper \( F_0 \) positions

Since not only the true \( F_0 \) but also its harmonics/subharmonics are the local optimal solution of \( \mu_k \), the \( F_0 \) estimate via the previous phase \( \hat{\mu}_k \) is not guaranteed to be the true \( F_0 \). Let us now estimate the optimal weight parameters (spectral envelope), where \( \hat{\mu}_k \) is fixed, to confirm whether or not \( \hat{\mu}_k \) has been correctly estimated. If \( \hat{\mu}_k \) has been harmonics/subharmonics, the \( k \)th harmonic GMM appears to be an underfit/overfit model (see Fig 3). Thus we can estimate the true \( F_0 \) position by the iteration: AIC calculation after the EM algorithm convergence and replacing \( \hat{\mu}_k \) to its multiples/divisors, and stops when AIC takes local minimum value.

4.2. Kalman Filter Based Frame-Dependent-Process

If the process carried out at the previous analysis frame is the time-independent-process, the initial a posteriori density function \( p(\Theta_0|y_0) \) can tentatively be set according to the ML estimate of \( \hat{\mu}_k \).

4.3. Process Selection at Each Analysis Frame

The frame-independent-process is carried out at the initial analysis frame and after that, the alternative processes are selectively carried out according to KL divergence between the multi-pitch spectral model and the observed spectrum. The process is simply selected with a threshold procedure (if the KL divergence is smaller than a threshold, frame-dependent-process will be selected, and if larger, frame-independent-process will be selected to reexamine whether or not a new speaker has appeared). Note that this is no more than an ad hoc way and an appropriate decision criteria for the process selection should further be investigated.
5. Experiments

To evaluate the performance of our algorithm, it was tested on 23 simultaneous speech data. Each of them was artificially created by mixing two Japanese sentence signals with 0 dB signal-to-signal ratio, excerpted from set-A of ATR speech database. All signals were digitized at 12 kHz sampling rate and analyzed with Gaussian window where frame length and shift were 64 ms and 10 ms, respectively. The initial number of harmonic GMMs at the time-independent-process was set to 6. Deviations over 5% from the references were defined as gross errors.

Fig 5 shows a comparison of the proposed algorithm and the case in which the frame-independent-process was only used at every frame. A preliminary comparison with cepstrum is also shown. Fig 4 shows an example of the estimated multi-pitch trajectories and the corresponding reference $F_0$ patterns. The Kalman filter based procedure effectively improved the estimation accuracy especially on continuous $F_0$ trajectory segments, while some errors were found due to the process selection mistakes such that even when passing through a discontinuous parts of $F_0$ or speech offsets, the frame-dependent-process were continually carried out.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we proposed a multi-pitch trajectory estimation procedure using harmonic GMM and nonlinear Kalman filtering that reflects the local time dependency property of $F_0$ pattern. This procedure was experimentally shown to be effective for multi-pitch tracking of simultaneous(competitive) speech. Our future work includes investigation of a decision criteria of phrase boundary or speech onset/offset in order to select appropriate alternative processes at each analysis frame. Furthermore, the evaluation in noisy environments, the possibility of noise reduction purpose, and more sophisticated spectral modeling (e.g., 3 dimensional spectrogram modeling) will also be investigated.
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