Rule-based grapheme-to-phoneme method for the Greek
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Abstract
This paper describes a trainable method for generating letter to sound rules for the Greek language, for producing the pronunciation of out-of-vocabulary words. Several approaches have been adopted over the years for grapheme-to-phoneme conversion, such as hand-seeded rules, finite state transducers, neural networks, HMMs etc, nevertheless it has been proved that the most reliable method is a rule-based one. Our approach is based on a semi-automatically pre-transcribed lexicon, from which we derived rules for automatic transcription. The efficiency and robustness of our method are proved by experiments on out-of-vocabulary words which resulted in over than 98% accuracy on a word-base criterion.

1. Introduction
The pronunciation of a word is an important task for both speech recognition and speech synthesis. Its use of pre-transcribed lexicons can be considered to be the most robust and reliable method, but its use is limited since it is generally unable to cope with other words such as proper names, morphological variants etc. Several methods and approaches have been proposed for performing automatic conversion from graphemes into phonemes and vice-versa. A direct hand-crafted lexicon access had been proposed initially [1]. The use of neural networks [2] has also been proposed, but with limited efficiency. Probabilistic approaches are one of the most commonly used methods for several languages ([3], [4]), the efficiency of which however is controversial and highly dependant on the target language. Two or more-level rule based approaches have also been proposed ([5], [6], [7], [8]), nevertheless, their implementation is not a trivial task since it relies on ad hoc empirical rules. Finally, other statistical methods have been tested for the same task with satisfactory performance such as HMM ([9], [10], [11]), finite transducers and automata ([12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17]), or decision trees ([18], [19]).

2. Grapheme-to-Phoneme Conversion for the Greek Language
For the Greek language there have been several attempts for automatic grapheme-to-phoneme conversion, using different approaches. In [8] a set of empirical rules has been proposed while in [16] and [18] different methods like automata, decision trees and neural networks were proposed. Grapheme-to-phoneme conversion for the Greek language is considered to be straightforward since for example the stress always given without ambiguity. Nevertheless this remains an open issue since no system has achieved 100% accuracy. For the Greek language, there are about 200 rules that generally apply for automatic transcription ([20], [8], [16]), which however cannot deal with exceptions of coarticulation phenomena, which are context- or word-specific. Namely, the cases of C/i/V, i.e. the cases where a consonant is followed by the /i/ phoneme and a vowel, the cases of the phonemes /b/, /d/, and /g/, and cross-word coarticulation phenomena are the most problematic ones. In the case of C/i/V, the phoneme /i/ can arise from 6 different letters or set of letters (t, η, v, o, ο, υ). This is a very frequent case in Greek since it has been estimated that the words containing a C/i/V phenomenon are the 8.5% of the total number of words that appear in a regular written text in modern Greek, as this was measured in HNC [21]. HNC is ILSP’s corpus that has been acquired over the years and currently contains more than 34,000,000 words of written text. It is a web-based database where one can run queries on parts of speech or lemmas, selecting different types of subcorpora.

The corresponding percentage for the words containing one of the /b/, /d/, or /g/ phonemes is 4.3%. Thus, it is obvious that if a letter-to-sound system for the Greek that has not foreseen to specially manipulate these cases is bound to perform rather poorly (less than 90% success rate on a word-base criterion).

3. The Proposed Method
The aim of the proposed method is to automatically produce grapheme-to-phoneme conversion rules based on a lexicon containing phonetic transcriptions prepared manually. The total number of words in the lexicon is 890,000, including the different morphological variants for each lemma. The method consists of the following steps:

- The first step is to define all the possible matching rules for Greek, i.e. associating graphemic patterns with the respective phonemic patterns. A graphemic pattern may include one or more letters and a phonemic pattern one or more phonemes.
- Next, an alignment stage follows, where each word is aligned to its transcription based on the defined matching rules.
- Finally, the method tries to define rules for each graphemic pattern that are consistent throughout the corpus.

3.1. Pre-transcribed Lexicon Preparation
The preparation of the pre-transcribed lexicon, that has been used for deriving the conversion rules, is one of the most painstaking and time-consuming tasks. Its completion was carried out in two stages:

- Initially, a rough transcription was produced automatically for all the words of the lexicon using a prototype grapheme-to-phoneme engine that was
The alignment problem is maybe the most important task in the framework of letter-to-sound conversion. Although at a first sight it appears to be as simple as a sole string-matching procedure, such an approach would not be meaningful since it consists of a mapping between sequences over dissimilar alphabets.

There are several different approaches for performing the mapping between the grapheme and the phonetic transcription of every word, such as Dynamic Time Warping techniques, force alignment ([19]), regression and decision trees, HMMs ([11]), epsilon scattering ([19], [22]) i.e. mapping of one grapheme to either a phoneme or epsilon, or other machine learning techniques. Although these methods perform well enough and are good to use for unsupervised training, their accuracy is well below perfect and highly dependent on the complexity and the variety of the target language.

In order to achieve optimal results, a supervision approach is necessary. By having the latter in mind, we designed our system in such way that no error could be imposed during the alignment process. This was achieved by predefined all possible mappings from one grapheme to the corresponding phoneme, or from many graphemes to one or many phonemes. By doing so we disambiguated the cases where a grapheme corresponds to epsilon [22] (i.e. does not correspond to any phoneme but disappears) and managed to derive one single best mapping path for every pair of words, without the possibility of alternative, equally optimal or conflicting paths.

The total number of the mapping rules defined is about 12,000 and is a superset of all possible patterns for grapheme-to-phoneme conversion for the modern Greek. It should be noted that not all of these mappings are possible, since several of them cannot be found in real-life Greek words, while on the other hand, many of these rules are conflicting since they are not 1-1 mappings, but one class can have more than one mappings. This is an alternative way for performing the mapping between the words, since a large percentage of the reported bibliography makes use of the epsilon mapping, where a single letter can be transcribed into either a phoneme or into nothing. In Greek the mapping of a letter into alternative phonemes can be attributed to the context, therefore it is better if the alternative transcriptions are assigned to longer contexts. Our feeling was that although the epsilon cluster facilitates the automatic unsupervised alignment between word-pairs, it can lead to contradicting alignments. Therefore we decided to avoid its use and elaborate with longer clusters instead, where the disappearance or the alteration of a grapheme happens only within the limits of a cluster.

For example the Greek letter iota (ι) is transcribed into the phoneme /i/, while the same letter in the context of ‘δι’ the same letter can be transcribed into either /i/ or /j/. The same letter can disappear, but only, for example, in the context of γυα for example and can never disappear without the context.

As soon as all possible transcription patterns are derived, the alignment process is simple to carry out. The algorithm is depicted in pseudo-code:

Algorithm:

1. i=1 /* initialize the cursor */
2. Starting from the letter at position i find the longest transcription pattern that can match with the next n letters (n=sizeof(best_unit))
3. i=i+n /* proceed with the parsing */
4. if ( i!=sizeof(string)) goto Step 2

For example the word ‘δουβάνεω’ is aligned to its transcription as follows:

```
\[ \begin{array}{cccccc}
\delta & \alpha & \beta & \alpha & \nu & \omega \\
\delta & j & a & v & e' & n & o
\end{array} \]
```

Figure 1: Grapheme to phoneme mapping using longer than single-sized classes.

while for the same word, using the approach of the epsilon phoneme results in the following alignment:

```
\[ \begin{array}{cccccc}
\delta & i & \alpha & \beta & a & \nu & \omega \\
\delta & j & a & v & e' & . & n & o
\end{array} \]
```

Figure 2: Grapheme to phoneme mapping using the “epsilon” approach.

By searching for the longest unit every time the cursor moves on within the word boundaries, we achieve to follow the best path according to the transcription patterns that we have predefined. The epsilon approach, although is convenient to use when attempting a dynamic and self-trained mapping between graphemes and phonemes, often leads to ambiguous and erroneous mappings, which will also lead to the derivation of erroneous rules.

3.3. Letter-to-Phoneme Rules

Given the mapped transcribed lexicon, we make an exhaustive search for every conversion rule that has been defined in the mapping process. At a first stage, by parsing the entire lexicon for every rule we identify the rules that apply without contradiction to the entire lexicon. These rules are set as the default rules that apply generally, such as for
example for the letter alpha α which is always transcribed into the phoneme ‘a’. During a second stage, we identify the rules that do not apply always but are context dependent. These are the rules that have the same seed but different mapping (e.g. the unit ‘ία’ can be transcribed into either ια or ιαι). In order to handle these rules, we try to identify the shortest possible context for every rule to apply, with no contradiction within the entire lexicon. The lengthening of the context is performed on either sides (right and left context), one each time, until the compatibility of this rule is met throughout the lexicon. This is a simple process that is responsible for identifying the rules that apply within the given lexicon and their, dependencies among the ones predefined during the mapping process. By this one would expect that the deriving rules would not exceed the number of the predefined mapping rules, however this is not absolutely true, since not all rules that have been manually predefined apply within the lexicon, many of them do not apply at all in Greek words, while on the other hand, the same rule can be the exception to the default rule with several different contexts.

The above process has resulted initially in 5,773 different rules with context up to 7 units. Due to the size of the hand-crafted lexicon, there are several errors that have been imposed during the manual transcription of the lexicon. However, after the production of the first set of rules, it became clear that some rules were attributed to erroneous entries in the lexicon. These entries were easy to track by the irregular context length and the low frequency of the rule that they derived. The same process was repeated on the corrected data in order to produce more accurate rules for letter-to-sound conversion.

### 4. Evaluation

In order to evaluate the efficiency of the system we run three different tests, one on the pre-transcribed lexicon, after a delineation 90%-10% split, we used 90% of the words for training of the system and the 10% of the words for evaluating it. The 10% of the lexicon was picked for one experiment every 9 words, in order to ensure random distribution of the words within the lexicons and to include as many as possible morphological variants, while for a second experiment the 10% was picked randomly following a Gaussian distribution in the indexes within the lexicon. Finally, for further evaluation we manually checked the performance of the system on the 1,500 most frequent words of HNC [21] that were not included in the training lexicon, and projected the measured success rate on the overall size of the corpus by taking into account the frequencies of these words.

The results, summarized in the following table, were exceedingly well and accurate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Num Words</th>
<th>Success Rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>99.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,145</td>
<td>99.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,558</td>
<td>99.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,259</td>
<td>99.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>99.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>99.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>99.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>99.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The evaluation results of the proposed system

At this point we must stress that an important factor for the high success rate of our system is the fact that the lexicon we have used is long enough to cover most of the coarticulation phenomena met in the Greek language, therefore the training of the system is complete.

A typical test for measuring the efficiency of such systems is the evaluation with proper names or that have not been included during the training stage of the system [15]. The evaluation of the system with 12,856 Greek proper names that were not included in the training lexicon gave similar results, with an overall success rate of more than 98.5%.

Another evaluation test that would also be meaningful for such systems is the assessment with nonsense words, especially designed so that they cover most of the issues mentioned before as problematic for the Greek pronunciation. Such an evaluation is planned for the future.
5. Conclusions
In this paper we presented a method for building an efficient and robust system for letter-to-sound transcription for the Greek language. Using manually transcribed lexicons and with hand-seeded mapping rules, we have achieved to build a rule-based grapheme-to-phoneme system that performs exceedingly well with out-of-the-vocabulary words, with a success rate of over 98% on word-base criterion. In its current state, the system cannot handle cross-word coarticulation phenomena, which often occur. It is in our short-term plans to investigate and incorporate rules that will be able to handle such cases, mainly as a post-processing module.
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