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Abstract

This paper presents results from an ongoing research on the evaluation of the prosody of British English spoken by French learners and native speakers. This pilot study examines two potential rhythmic criteria: the analysis of the anacrusis/narrow rhythm unit and that of the pairwise variability index (PVI). The method used is a comparative analysis of French and native speakers' productions with on the one hand a subjective evaluation of the prosody of the speakers including the natives, and on the other hand an objective evaluation aiming at correlating acoustic parameters with the level of the speakers. This preliminary study showed interesting results: even though the level of significance was not reached the two rhythmic parameters could be considered as relevant prosodic criteria and are in need of further investigation.

1. Introduction

Research on second language acquisition has been largely developed in the last decades but the examination of prosodic aspects of it is still rather rare. The teaching of prosody had for a long time been neglected. In the middle of the 60’s [1] the first attempts were made to find a learning method for prosody. Even though the results of the experiments described in [2] & [3] were not significant, other studies such as those of [4] and [5] illustrated the efficiency of the visualisation of intonation patterns. Recent research has led to the creation of new software providing either a pitch visualiser such as Winpitch LTL, [6] or a computer-assisted system for teaching English prosody such as BetterAccentTutor, [7], devoted to the prosody of General American or as the Prosodia system, [8], providing exercises on British English intonation and rhythm including segmental difficulties.

One thing crucially lacking in all these systems is an objective evaluation based on concrete linguistic information, usable and open to all users. The only feedback found in recent studies is a visualisation of intonation curves and hardly anything has been done with rhythm.

The aim of this study was to run a pilot study on possible rhythmic criteria suitable for an objective evaluation of prosody. Two main rhythmic parameters were selected. The first criterion was the study of the anacrusis/narrow rhythm unit [9] [10], considered as representative of the rhythmic structure of the English language with, unlike in French, considerable reduction of unstressed syllables; indeed one of the most difficult aspects for a French speaker is to produce these vowel reductions. The second experiment was the analysis of the PVI (Pairwise Variability Index) [11]; French being generally classified as a ‘syllable-timed’ language as opposed to English which is described as ‘stress-timed’. It was predicted that the PVI of the best speakers would be closer to the PVI rates of the native speakers and then that of lower level speakers.

2. Experiment

2.1. Corpus

43 sentences were taken from the ‘Prosodia’ software illustrating various segmental and accentual difficulties and different intonation patterns. This was used as the ‘model’ speaker for the corpus. 40 subjects were asked to listen to these recordings and to repeat the sentences ‘trying to imitate the way they are produced’. The resulting corpus consisted of 1720 sentences. Among the sentences, three were selected for the analysis of the PVI, two of 7 syllables and one of 16 syllables chosen as they are composed of an alternation between stressed and unstressed syllables.

2.2. Speakers

In order to obtain a representative sample of different levels of English, four groups were formed according to their level of study: non-specialist speakers in English (FR1), first-year university students of English (FR2), fourth and fifth year-students (FR3) and native speakers of British English (GB). Each group was composed of 10 adults aged between 20 and 35. They were all volunteers.

2.3. Subjective evaluation

The sentences were evaluated by two French experts in English phonetics and two British English native speakers. The subjective evaluation was presented to the evaluators as a test of perception, comparing the productions with those of the given model. The task was to evaluate the quality of the productions according to two main criteria: (i) general production (quality of repetition) that is, at what level the speaker would be classified and (ii) rhythm, including vowel reduction and the place of the stress. The model was given as reference. A scale of 5 was used going from very bad (1) to excellent (5).

The aim was to test for a correlation between the academic level and the scores obtained for each group. We calculated first the mean of the two scores (production and rhythm) for each speaker and then an overall mean for each speaker. Finally we obtained the mean for the whole group.

The results represent a distance between a reference (the model) and the quality of the production. The evaluation showed that the main tendency was respected: on the whole the average obtained for each group corresponded fairly well to their basic level.
Table 1: mean scores for each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FR 1</th>
<th>FR 2</th>
<th>FR 3</th>
<th>GB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General score</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhythm score</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean score</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>3.775</td>
<td>4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average/group</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Analysis: objective evaluation

3.1. Analysis of the anacrusis

Two sentences were analysed and manually segmented into rhythm units (with Praat [12] software) following the model of structure proposed by [9] that is, into anacrusis (ANA) and Narrow Rhythm Units (NRU) where an NRU contains one accented syllable followed by any following unaccented syllables until the end of the word. Here we take an accented syllable to correspond to lexical stress produced with the pitch accent. The ANA corresponds to any unaccented syllables not included in an NRU. This rhythmic structure can be illustrated with the following example:

| They ex- | `-pected | [ his e- ] | `lection |
| ANA      | NRU      | ANA      | NRU     |

The following acoustic measurements were made:

- total duration of the sentence
- % of each anacrusis according to the total duration of the sentence, noted %ANA
- sum of % of anacrusis according to the total duration of the sentence.

3.1.1. Statistical analysis

The measures were intended to test for a correlation between the average score from the subjective evaluation and the calculated percentage of anacrusis. It has been shown [9] [10], that lengthening within a word take place essentially in the narrow rhythm unit and hardly at all in the anacrusis, and so we expected good scores to be correlated with a low percentage of anacrusis for successful productions.

3.1.2. Results

The first sentence containing three anacruses (underlined parts) is presented below transcribed with the SAMPA alphabet:

- it was a ‘heavy ‘lorry with a ‘full ‘load of ‘wood-

\[ \text{[t} \text{@ } z \text{@ } \\text{h} \text{e} \text{v} \text{I} \text{t} \text{Q} \text{r} \text{i} \text{w} \text{i} \text{D} \text{@} \text{U} \text{U} \text{I} \text{U} \text{d} \text{@} \text{v} \text{w} \text{Ud} \text{]} \]

Figure 1 shows that the points are concentrated between 28 and 38% and between 3 and 5. The regression line shows that the higher the percentage, the better the scores although the variability is too great for the effect to be significant. With the detailed study of each anacrusis, it was noted that the anacrusis at the beginning of the sentence shows the same correlation. The two other anacruses within the sentence follow our expectations, that is, the scores are good when the percentage of anacrusis is low.

The second sentence containing two anacruses (underlined parts) is presented below transcribed with SAMPA:

-There are ‘two ‘very ‘dirty ‘pair of ‘brown ‘leather ‘shoes-(glide up)

\[ \text{[D} \text{e@ } t \text{u: } \\text{v} \text{e} \text{r} \text{l} \text{d } 3: \text{t} \text{l p c@ z f } \\text{b r a U n } \\text{l e D @ S} \text{u: z]} \]

The slope of the regression line in figure 2 is reversed. The points are concentrated between 11 and 16% for scores from 1 to 5. Two groups of points can be considered: the first group having scores between 4 and 5 for the lower percentage that is between 10 and 12% and the second group having scores between 1 and 3 for a percentage of 12 to 16%. With the detailed study of each anacrusis, our expectations were only correct for the anacrusis located within the sentences but once again the data analysed were not sufficient to reach a level of significance.

3.2. Rhythmic variability-a native/non native comparison: analysis of the PVI

The speakers’ productions were labelled and manually segmented into phonemes with the use of the Praat software. A Praat script removed all the boundaries between two adjacent consonants or vowels so that the sentences were segmented into vocalic and consonantal intervals.

The rhythmic variation of vocalic and consonantal intervals was calculated by the Pairwise Variability Index (PVI) which
distinguishes the degree of variability between two successive measures. The PVI was calculated using the following equation (example for the vocalic intervals ‘V’) [1]. It is the same as the formula in [11] except that it is divided by 2 so that the value ranges from 1 to 100% instead of from 0 to 200%:

\[
\frac{100}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{|V_i - V_{i+1}|}{V_i + V_{i+1}}
\]

(1)

It was predicted that, first the native speakers and the model should have close PVI rates and secondly that the better the non native speakers’ productions and the closer to an English rhythmic structure the rhythm was, the closer to the native speakers’ and model’s PVI the rates would be.

3.3. Results

The histogram shows that the vocalic PVI is more relevant than the consonantal one. The vocalic PVI shows a progressive evolution going from group 1 with 25.51 to group 2 and 3 with 30.05 to group 4 (30.9) and to the model with 36.4. The rate increases with the level of the group but the consonantal PVI did not reach the level of significance.

The detailed results of each sentence show various differences.

For sentence 1 ‘Tinker, tailor, soldier, sailor, rich man, poor man, beggarman, thief’, the consonantal PVI is higher than the vocalic PVI for each group. A difference in both PVI is to be noted between the rates of group 1 with 18.95 (voc.PVI) and 30.7 (cons.PVI) and the native speakers (23 voc.PVI and 33.7 cons.PVI) or the model (35.7). The native speakers and the model have approximatively the same rate of PVI.

For sentence 2 “have you got an empty cup”, according to the figure the vocalic PVI is higher and more relevant for all the groups than the consonantal PVI which is more variable and does not follow the level of the groups. The vocalic PVI increases following three stages: group 1 with about 21, group 2, 3, 4 with about 29/31 and the model with 41.5. There is a difference again between group 1 and the native speakers and even more with the model.

For sentence 3 ‘he said cheese to the waiter’, the vocalic PVI is higher than the consonantonal one and once again more relevant than the consonantonal PVI. A distinct difference is to be noted with the vocalic PVI between group 1 (36.6) and the native speakers (39,03) and even more with the model (44,71). Within these extremes the graphic shows a progressive increase of the vocalic PVI which also follows the level of the groups going from a low rate for a low level to a higher rate for the best levels. The consonantonal PVI is not relevant and variable according to the group.
4. Discussion

The study made on the anacrusis showed that the results corresponded to our hypothesis with the anacrusis situated within sentences but not with the anacrusis located at the beginning of sentences. The lower the rates of the anacrusis, the better the scores on the subjective evaluation were. It can be deduced that the anacruses within the sentences are pronounced quickly enough and on the whole correctly for the groups which obtained better marks, that is, groups 3 and 4. Although this experiment showed preliminary positive results, it needs to be studied thoroughly with for example the analysis of the phoneme durations and formants to check the quality of the vowels (reduction or not).

Concerning the second study, it was noticeable that the vocalic PVI in most cases was more relevant than the consonantal PVI. It showed that the hypothesis predicting that the better the production (at a rhythmic level), the higher and also the closer to the native speakers the PVI would be, was verified by the vocalic PVI. With the detailed study of the three sentences, two showed significant differences with the vocalic PVI and especially between groups 1 and 4 (the native speakers); the third sentence showed this tendency with the consonantal PVI. It could be agreed that two extreme groups were more relevant than the in-between groups. This study which turned out to be an interesting starting point will be enlarged to other methods using different parameters such as [13] or [14] and reinforced once again with the analysis of the vowel durations and formants to check for vowel reduction.

5. Conclusion

This pilot study opens perspectives for a considerable number of further studies. Indeed, this work needs to be reinforced by other parameters: on one hand the project will be further developed as it will be extended to intonation and on the other hand other rhythmic parameters will be investigated much more thoroughly and with much larger quantities of data. The search for prosodic criteria in order to develop an objective prosodic evaluation for the students’ productions needs consequently to be pursued actively, the ultimate goal being to standardize an automatic evaluation software for speech prosody.
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