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ABSTRACT

This paper presents a new training approach for improving recognition of speech under emotional and environmental stress. The proposed approach consists of training a speech recognizer with synthetically generated speech under each stress condition using stress perturbation models previously formulated in [4, 1]. The perturbation models were previously formulated to statistically model the parameter variations under angry, loud, and Lombard effect and were employed in an analysis-synthesis scheme for generating stressed synthetic speech from isolated neutral speech. In this paper, two training approaches employing the synthetically generated stressed speech are presented consisting of: speaker-independent, and speaker-adaptive training methods. Both approaches outperform neutral trained recognizers when tested with angry, loud, and Lombard effect speech.

1. INTRODUCTION

The variability introduced by a speaker under stress causes the performance of recognizers trained with neutral tokens to degrade. Training a model with speech produced under the same testing conditions can lead to improved recognition performance. However, such speech data is not always readily available for training. Several approaches have been previously proposed for improving stressed speech recognition. An approach referred to as multi-style training by Lippmann et al. [11] was considered for improved speaker-dependent recognition of stressed speech. This method required speakers to produce speech under simulated stressed speaking conditions and employed these multi-styles within the training procedure. A later study showed that multi-style training actually degrades performance if employed in a speaker-independent application [12]. Hansen and Clements [10] proposed compensating for formant bandwidth and formant location in the recognition phase. Front-end feature modification of stress speech in the recognition phase such that stress speech recognition parameters resemble that from neutral speech is another approach considered by Chen [6], Hansen and Bria [9], and Hansen [7] to improve recognition performance under stress. These methods all result in improved recognition performance. An alternative approach, referred to as the token generation method, altered both duration and mel-cepstral parameters of neutral training data to statistically resemble stressed speech data [2]. The token generation training method, which was tested on a limited vocabulary and was text-dependent, improved isolated word recognition for slow, loud, and Lombard effect when compared to a neutral trained system.

When the training corpus consists of only neutral speech, we propose generating synthetic stressed speech for training. The synthetic stressed speech is obtained here by perturbing the available neutral speech data using hidden Markov model (HMM) perturbation models which have been trained with the wide range of natural variations that exist between neutral and stressed speech parameters. The work in this paper differs from the approaches mentioned previously in that: (1) it eliminates the need for collecting stressed tokens for a particular speaker for training, and instead employs synthetically generated stressed speech for training, (2) it applies the knowledge of how other speakers modify their speech under stress to the neutral speech of new input speakers, and (3) a much larger number of training tokens can be made available. The latter is due to the HMM regeneration property which can be used to produce an unlimited number of perturbation vectors to modify neutral speech, and hence generate stressed synthetic speech.

In this paper, HMMs are employed for two purposes. It is important to clearly distinguish between the two applications. In the first application, HMMs are used for modeling speech parameter variations under stressful conditions, and then for regenerating observations which are statistically equivalent to the training data. These observations are employed for stressed speech synthesis. In the second application, the HMMs are employed for isolated word recognition of neutral and stressed speech.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the modeling and HMM training of speech parameter variations between neutral and stressed speech. In Section 3, we present the framework for speaker style modification of input neutral speech using the trained HMM perturbation models. Section 4 discusses the HMM topology, and the feature set used for training the recognizer. In Section 5, the results of employing synthetic stressed speech for training are presented. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section 6.

2. STRESS PERTURBATION MODELS

This work is based on a previously proposed approach for modeling variations in speech parameters under stress using hidden Markov models (HMMs) [4]. The variations in pitch contour, voiced duration, and spectral contour were modeled for the purpose of stressed speech synthesis. Here, the generated synthetic stressed speech is employed for improved recognition performance. In the training
phase, the HMM models were trained with the variations that occur between neutral and stressed speech rather than with actual parameter values since this will vary from speaker-to-speaker. The stressed speaking styles evaluated were angry, loud, and Lombard effect. The following five separate perturbation models were trained for each stressed condition: (i) voiced duration variation, (ii) pitch contour perturbation, (iii) derivative of pitch contour perturbation, (iv) explicit state occupancy for pitch-perturbation HMM, and (v) spectral contour mismatch.

The advantages of our modelling approach are the following: (i) variations in actual speech parameters are being modeled, and therefore the models are not specific to a text-to-speech system, or to a voice coder, (ii) the approach is not dependent on a particular speaker, phoneme class, or word, (iii) the HMM models represent the wide range of parameter variations that exist between neutral and stressed speech (not a fixed perturbation vector), (iv) the HMM models can reproduce unlimited observation sequences with the same statistical properties as the training data (due to the regenerative property of HMMs), and hence a single neutral word can be perturbed in more than one way, and finally, (v) a large database of stressed synthetic speech can be generated from an originally smaller neutral data set.

After training, and at the perturbation stage, the HMM perturbation models are employed to statistically generate perturbation vectors possessing the same statistical properties as the training data which are used to modify the speaking style of isolated neutral words. Due to the regenerative property of the HMM, more than one perturbation vector can be generated for each neutral word, resulting in stressed synthetic speech with different levels of stress. Hence, the HMM modeling approach allows for a broader representation of the variations under stress than a fixed feature transformation approach which was proposed in an earlier work [5].

3. GENERATING SYNTHETIC STRESSED SPEECH FOR TRAINING

In this approach, we produce synthetic speech tokens for use in training. The HMM-based perturbation models are integrated into a single overall algorithm employing pitch\(^1\), duration, and spectral contour perturbation, as shown in Figure 1, in order to generate stressed speech from neutral speech. The voiced duration distribution, pitch perturbation derivative, and state occupancy model are combined into a single algorithm to generate pitch perturbation profiles. In order to generate a pitch perturbation profile, three steps are necessary: first, the total number of observations to be produced by the whole HMM sequence is determined, second, the number of observations to be generated by each HMM state is determined, and finally, a procedure to order these observations is established. After the duration and pitch perturbation

\(^1\)Although current HMM-based speech recognition systems do not include pitch information as part of the feature set, pitch and prosodic information is important for speech understanding systems.
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**Figure 1:** Speaking style modification using HMM-based perturbation models.

step, a spectral perturbation vector is generated for perturbing the spectral contour in the frequency domain. A single spectral perturbation vector is employed across the whole word. A more detailed discussion on each step is presented in [3, 4].

By applying the synthesis steps shown in Figure 1, a total of 6480 synthetic stress tokens are generated from neutral speech for the three stressed speaking conditions (2100 tokens/style). These tokens are generated by perturbing a 24-word vocabulary spoken by a group of nine general American speakers where each word is repeated ten times. The speech database employed in these evaluations is SUSAS: Speech Under Simulated Actual Stress [8]. The vocabulary consists of mono and multisyllabic words. A common highly confusable vocabulary set of 35 aircraft communication words make up the data base (e.g., /go-oh-no/./white-white/etc). The generated stressed synthetic speech is employed next for training a speech recognition system.

4. RECOGNIZER TRAINING

In this study, two training approaches are considered. The first approach assumes that no neutral or stressed training speech is available from the input speaker, and hence all recognition evaluations are speaker-independent. The second approach assumes that only neutral speech is available from the input speaker and that the neutral and synthetic stress models will be adapted to the input speaker. A 24-word HMM-based recognizer is formulated using a variable state number, left-to-right model, with 2 continuous mixtures per state. The features used for training and recognition are 8 LPCC, ALPCC, energy, and \(\Delta\)energy.

In the first scheme, the HMM models are trained in a round-robin scheme with eight speakers while the ninth
The three stress conditions considered are angry, loud, and Lombard. In all evaluations, the models are speaker-independent and speaker-adaptive approaches, we conclude that:

- Speaker-dependent neutral trained models achieve better recognition rates than speaker-independent neutral trained models for all four conditions tested (neutral, angry, loud, and Lombard condition). This indicates that speech produced under stressed conditions possesses speaker-specific traits.

- High error rates result when testing neutral trained models with stressed speech, indicating that stressed speech possesses additional characteristics which are absent in neutral speech.

- Synthetic stress trained models achieve higher recognition rates than neutral trained models especially for highly confusable words.

- Adapting the synthetic stress trained models to the input speaker achieves the highest recognition rates. Therefore, we conclude that under stressed conditions, speakers possess certain similar traits, and hence the knowledge of how a group of speakers modify their speech characteristics under stress can be employed to improve speaker-independent stressed speech recognition performance.

5.2 Speaker-Adaptive Recognition

The recognition performance of speaker-dependent neutral trained models of neutral speech is 99.31%. The recognition rate drops by 19.22% for angry, 13.00% for loud, and 4.03% for Lombard. Speaker-adaptive synthetic stress trained models improve recognition for all three speaking styles as shown in Table 2 (2nd column). Detailed results comparing the performance of speaker-dependent neutral trained models to speaker-adaptive synthetic angry trained models when tested with angry speech are illustrated in Figures 2 and 3. A total of 18 tokens per word are employed for recognition. The words are listed in order of confusability (e.g., /six-fox/, /white-wide/). The synthetic stress training method improves recognition of highly confusable words (note the recognition improvements for the words /three-degree/, /six/, /go-ch-hello-zero/).

5.3 Discussion

This approach generates synthetic stressed speech for training by modifying pitch contour, duration, and spectral contour of neutral speech. Perturbing actual speech parameters as opposed to cepstral parameters, for example, results in a more general approach that is applicable to any speech recognition or understanding system, not just MFCC-based systems.

By comparing the performance of both speaker-dependent and speaker-adaptive approaches, we conclude that:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Testing</th>
<th>HMM Models Trained with</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>92.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angry</td>
<td>78.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loud</td>
<td>82.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lombard</td>
<td>90.05%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Performance of speaker-independent models trained with neutral (1st column), synthetic stress (2nd column), and original stressed speech (3rd column).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Testing</th>
<th>HMM Models Trained with</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With</td>
<td>Neutral Speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>99.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angry</td>
<td>80.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loud</td>
<td>86.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lombard</td>
<td>94.68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Performance of speaker-dependent neutral trained models (1st column), and speaker-adaptive synthetic stress trained models (2nd column).
adaptable models, however, are trained with synthetic stressed speech generated by applying the statistical variations that exist under stress onto neutral speech (including that of the test speaker). Therefore, modeling the parameter deviations that exist under stress and employing that knowledge to adapt the recognition models to a new speaker achieves a better performance than directly training the recognizer with the actual stressed data. Our training method clearly eliminates the need for collecting stress training data from the input speaker.

6. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented the first approach for improving stressed speech recognition by generating synthetic stressed speech for training. Two different training approaches were considered. The first approach consisted of speaker independent training and recognition, and the second approach was speaker-adaptive. Both training methods improve the recognition of angry, loud, and Lombard effect speech. Speaker-dependent neutral trained models outperformed speaker-independent neutral trained models when tested with either neutral or stressed speech. Speaker-adaptive synthetic stressed trained models outperformed all other models including the speaker-independent original stressed trained models for all three stressed conditions.
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Figure 2: Sample confusion matrix for speaker-dependent neural trained models tested with angry speech.
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Figure 3: Sample confusion matrix for speaker-adaptive synthetic angry trained models tested with angry speech.