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Abstract

Grounding is the problem of correspondence between the symbolic concepts of language and the physical environment. The research direction that we propose to tackle language acquisition and grounding is based on multimodal event-based representations and probabilistic generative modeling. First, we establish a new multimodal dataset recorded from a mobile robot and describe how such multimodal signals can be efficiently encoded into compact, event-based representations using sparse coding. We highlight how they could be better suited to ground concepts. We then describe a generative probabilistic model based on those event-based representations. We discuss possible applications of this probabilistic framework in the context of a cognitive agent, such as detecting novelty at the inputs or reasoning by building internal simulations of the environment. While this work is still in progress, this could open new perspectives on how representational learning can play a key role in the ability to map structures of the multimodal scene to language. Index Terms: probabilistic modeling, sparse coding, event-based representation, generative modeling, cognitive agent.

1. Introduction

Human language relies on the ability to map the physical nature of concepts to words during real-life interactions. This correspondence problem is known as symbol grounding [1]. Since words can have meanings related to objects, actions or even emotions, grounding must involve the very same sensors that we use to perceive objects, plan motor actions or express emotions. Here we will use modalities to refer to sensors, and multimodal grounding to mean to associate words to multiple sensory inputs. More specifically, grounding represents the link between symbolic constituents of language and the internal representation of the multimodal scene. This allows to make sense of the communicated message in ambiguous situations. Ultimately, conceptual representations are learned and used independently of the presence of the objects concerned [2]. In this paper, we will, however, focus on the functional representations linked with the sensorimotor experiences. Abstract concepts that have no realization in the external world are not discussed in this research contribution.

Grounding depends on representational learning to extract relevant structures from the multimodal scene that is perceived by the interactants. Symbolic knowledge can be acquired from the multimodal scene by associating particular words to the related objects that are perceived (e.g. [3]). This requires learning in a situational context, with an embodied interactants sharing the same external environment. The main idea of this paper is that the internal representation may be learned in such way to facilitate future grounding and acquisition of symbols if constraints such as sparsity are used to obtain object and event-based representations. We can summarize the contribution of this work as follows:

- we establish a new multimodal dataset recorded from a mobile robot interacting with the environment. We discuss how this dataset can be used for offline evaluation of algorithms on learning multimodal representation and grounding action-related concepts (Section 2.1).
- we propose a method to learn internal representations of temporal inputs using sparse coding. Sparse coding is used to generate a part and event-based representation that could be better suited to ground concepts (Section 2.2).
- we describe a generative probabilistic model of multimodal scenes based on part and event-based representations, in the perspective that internal simulations of the environment based on sampling such model would be part of the grounding process (Section 2.3).
- we discuss the role of probabilistic and generative modeling in the context of a cognitive agent acquiring language (Section 3).

2. Proposed Object-based Representation and Generative Modeling of Sensory Inputs

2.1. Dataset for Grounding Action-related Concepts

Sensory and symbolic knowledge can be acquired from recorded multimodal data to facilitate experiments and ensure reproducibility of the results. We thus designed a dataset in the context of a mobile robot that can learn multimodal representation of its environment. The ability of the robot to perform actions and navigate in the environment is used to learn the dependency and relationship between modalities (e.g. vision, audio, proprioception). An example of the multimodal signals from a subset of the 15 sensors is shown in Figure 1. A little over 9 hours of multimodal data have been recorded in various scenarios (e.g. exploration only, or with human interaction). Such database is of interest for language acquisition and grounding since it is possible to work directly on the raw input signals and represent them in a vector space to obtain the environmental representations. Low-level knowledge of the environment can be manipulated and studied with that database to ground the actions of the robot within the environment with a very simple and limited semantic that characterizes its actions. The multimodal dataset can be used in several ways within the goal of sensorimotor knowledge acquisition, such as multimodal unsupervised object learning or multimodal prediction.
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Dataset specifications and tools available online: https://github.com/sbrodeur/ros-icreate-bbb
Figure 1: Example of multimodal signals from various sensors of a mobile robot navigating in a room. An internal representation of the multimodal scene characterized by the various sensors allows to ground action-related concepts into the sensorimotor state of the robot. For instance, the robot could acquire the meaning of moving forward by learning how the motors impact the battery current draw, linear acceleration and angular velocity. It could also acquire the meaning of being manipulated (e.g. as occurring during the time interval of [25,30] seconds) when such interaction event is linked with large variations in linear accelerations.

The dataset has to be annotated with a vocabulary of about 20 words. Examples of language annotations linked to the sensorimotor state of the robot are: "sharp turn left", "lifted up", "wandering", "accelerating forward", "hitting an obstacle". Aside from simple words such as "left", "right" or "forward", the annotations could also include a variety of adjectives and modifiers such as "sharp". This provides a way to acquire sensorimotor and symbolic knowledge related to diverse concepts such as actions, directions and speeds when interacting with the environment.

2.2. Sparse coding of time series

Assume a simple linear decomposition based on the discrete convolution 2 as $S = X \ast D$. The variable $S$ defines a temporal sequence of length $N_t$, with feature vectors of dimensionality $N_s$, i.e. $S = [s_1, \ldots, s_{N_t}] \in \mathbb{R}^{N_t \times N_s}$. The dictionary $D$ is a multidimensional array (tensor) of rank 3, i.e. $D \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times W \times N_s}$. The dictionary defines a set of $K$ bases (or convolution filters) $d_k$ of dimensionality $W \times N_s$, where $W$ is the temporal length of the bases and $N_s$ is the number of features from time samples of the input signal $S$. The set of coefficients $X$ is a multidimensional array of rank 3, i.e. $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N_t \times K \times N_s}$, that encode the input $S$ by the means of sparse feature maps.

Sparse coding (for review, see [4]) aims at providing a set of sparse coefficients by the penalization of the $L^0$ norm of $X$, as shown in Equation 1. The constant $\alpha$ controls the trade-off between the reconstruction error and the $L^0$ norm sparsity of the set of coefficients $X$. Sparse coding allows to compact the energy of the representation into a few high-valued coefficients while letting the remaining coefficients sit at exactly zero. The linear decomposition based on the discrete convolution adds time shift (translation) invariance properties to the dictionary $D$, and $D \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times W \times N_t}$ can now have temporal length $W < N_t$ to represent local features in the input signal $S$.

$$\begin{align*}
(X^*, D^*) &= \arg\min_{X,D} \frac{1}{2} \|S - X \ast D\|_2^2 + \alpha \|X\|_0
\end{align*}$$

with constraint $\|d_k\|_2 = 1$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, K\}$

The optimization problem described in Equation 1 is NP-hard because of the constraint on the $L^0$ norm [5]. Matching pursuit [6] is one possible iterative algorithm to find an approximative solution to the optimal set of coefficients $X$ with minimal non-zero support, given a dictionary $D$. The approximative solution is good enough to remove redundancy and encode relevant structures from the input signal. The dictionary $D$ may even be learned using other methods such as principal component analysis (PCA) or clustering using K-means. An example of real-life learned dictionary on gyroscope signals on a mobile robot is shown in Figure 2c. The real strength of sparse coding is the inference of a minimal set of coefficients to describe the input signal, given a learned dictionary $D$. This is illustrated in Figure 2a and 2b, where complex signals are represented with an arbitrary accuracy with only a few non-zero coefficients. This process gives an object-based representation of the signal that we hypothesize to ease grounding.

If the projection on a set of bases is overcomplete (i.e. there are more bases that input features), the use of a sparsity constraint introduces a non-linearity that is beneficial to compactly represent the input [9]. Overcomplete representations are also better at approximating the statistical density of the data [10]. This is why sparse coding is well adapted to feature extraction and denoising (e.g. [11]). Researchers have applied sparseness constraints to convolutional deep belief networks [12], energy-based models [13], rectifier neural networks [14] and non-negative matrix factorization methods [15] as a means to learn part-based or object-based representations. However none of these specific algorithms use a constraint on the $L^0$ norm as in the convolutional sparse coding framework presented above. Those approaches cannot be easily interpreted as event-based representations.

In the brain, neuronal spiking can also be seen as an event-based representation where the timing of the spikes carry information across neural assemblies and brain regions. Unlike discrete signals with fixed sampling rate, it is an asynchronous representation and thus can be very sparse in the time dimension. Using a $L^0$ norm constraint achieves true sparsity (i.e. negligible coefficients are exactly zero) in time and bases domain and allows to convert a time series into an asynchronous representation. In fact, every non-zero sparse activation in the set of coefficients $X$ can be thought of as an event occurring at a particular discrete time $t_n$, with a specific basis $b_n$ and a given amplitude $a_n$. So like in the brain where raw sensory inputs (i.e. analog signals) are encoded to structured sequences of spikes by neural populations, discrete signals can also be converted into events

---

2The definition of convolution from machine learning is used here, where the filter is not time-reversed. This convolution is similar to a cross-correlation.

3Sparsity regularization is most commonly done by penalizing the $L^0$ norm of the output coefficients or activations, mainly due to the fact that $L^0$ norm is non-differentiable and non-convex.
that encode part or object-based features through time. For such
representation, the set of coefficients is simply interpreted as a
sequence of events $X \rightarrow \{e_1, \ldots, e_{N\text{events}}\}$, where $N\text{events} = \|X\|_0$ is
the number of non-zero coefficients in the representation.
Each event is described by the triple $e_n = (t_n, b_n, a_n)$. Event-
driven algorithms can be very energy-efficient since they only
need to update their internal state or output when a new event
occurs, regardless of the time interval between two successive
events (e.g. [16, 17, 18]).

2.3. Probabilistic Modelling of Time Series

Probabilistic modeling of time series has long been possible
with hidden Markov models [20] and use commonly in speech
recognition [21] and speech synthesis [22]. However, with the
recent advances in machine learning, artificial neural networks
have become more popular and proved to push further and fur-
ther the state of the art in modeling and generation quality. For
instance, conditional restricted Boltzmann machines has been
used to model and generate motion style from human skeleton
data [23]. Autoregressive neural networks have been able to
generate very realistic speech in text-to-speech applications by
working directly in time domain on raw speech signals [24].
Most if not all of these approaches have had great success in
generating realistic and high quality signals by doing sample-
by-sample prediction, i.e. predicting the next time domain sam-
ples sequentially one at a time.

In this section, we would like to introduce a very gen-
eral framework for probabilistic modeling of multimodal event-
based time series, which is illustrated in Figure 3. The architec-
ture we propose is based on a long short-term memory (LSTM)
[25] and a mixture density network (MDN) [26]. We have dis-
covered recent parallel work which uses those algorithms to
generate realistic accelerometer data [27]. The main difference
is that our framework considers event-based inputs and outputs
as described in Section 2.2. Instead of doing sample-by-sample
prediction, we propose to explore a similar mechanism but that
can operate on a larger temporal context at the level of events.

Recall that events here describe objects or part-of-objects in the
signal. We propose to predict the probability of the next event $e_{\text{next}} = \{b_{\text{next}}, a_{\text{next}}\}$ given a past history of $N_e$ events
$h = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{N_e}\}$, as shown in Equation 2. In the mul-
timodal case, those events $h$ are related to different modalities
(e.g. visual features, sound) and processed as parallel streams as
illustrated in Figure 3.

\[
P(e_{\text{next}}|e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{N_{\text{events}}}; \theta) = P(e_{\text{next}}; \theta) \tag{2}
\]

The variable $\theta$ corresponds to parameters of the density
function. To simplify the modeling of this density function, we
consider that all three predicted variables of the next event (i.e.
$t_{\text{next}}, b_{\text{next}}$ and $a_{\text{next}}$) are statistically independent from each
other, leading to the factorization in Equation 3.

\[
P(e_{\text{next}}|h) = P(t_{\text{next}}; b_{\text{next}}; a_{\text{next}}; h; \theta) = P(t_{\text{next}}; h; \theta_b) \cdot P(b_{\text{next}}|t_{\text{next}}; \theta_e) \cdot P(a_{\text{next}}|h; \theta_a) \tag{3}
\]

The proposed framework is suitable to model the densities of
both discrete and continuous variables. Time will be consid-
ered as discrete in our event-based representation because the
input signal is a discrete signal. The basis information is al-
ready discrete since it refers to a finite set of bases in the sparse
coding dictionary D. To simplify the density functions, we can
map the time and amplitude of the predicted event to discrete
symbols. The Lloyd algorithm [28] can be used for optimal
quantization of the time and amplitude, based on the statisti-
cal distribution of the data. With this quantization procedure,
each density function can now be modeled by a multinomial
distribution. This gives the ability to model any kind of discrete
A probabilistic model learned on multimodal time series inputs can have multiple applications in the context of a cognitive agent that has to interact with the environment and with humans and learn from its actions and sensory experiences. One important ability that such learning agent must possess is that it should be able to know what it doesn’t know. This is the problem of novelty detection (for review, see [29]), where the agent itself is able to tell if a new multimodal scene has never been experienced before. The strength of probabilistic modeling is that by modeling the density of the input data, the rarity and novelty of sensory experiences can be estimated given the model. Novelty detection could be used in a proactive way to guide questions about the multimodal scene to interactively learn new concepts and their sensorimotor correlates. This would be useful in the context of the CHIST-ERA IGLU project, where we collaborate with several groups to build an agent that is able to learn via interactive dialogue with a human.

A probabilistic model can also be used as a generative model, since it describes a probability density function that can be sampled. This would be useful for a cognitive agent in a reinforcement learning architecture where imagined sensorimotor experiences are used to explore possible alternative solutions to maximize a reward function (e.g. [30]). Such reward function could be based on curiosity and desire to acquire new sensory and symbolic knowledge by interacting with the environment (e.g. [31]). The internal simulations would implement a basic conceptual system [32], and thus provide a much more powerful theory of grounded cognition. In this perspective, generating sensorimotor experiences could be used to ground the meaning of sentences that can be simulated, and assess if they make sense. For instance, the agent could interpret the sentence “move forward and you will hit a wall” by simulating the actions with the generative model and assessing of the consequences are similar, depending on the multimodal scene. If the agent is in front of a wall, it should be predictable that a collision will occur in those circumstances. However, if the agent is in a large open space, the sentence is ambiguous. Grounding language and understanding the meaning of sentences could thus be helped by sampling from a generative probabilistic model of sensorimotor experiences to assess the likelihood of action and object-related words given the context.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed an approach and a potential solution to build the sensorimotor representations in the process of grounding language in the real world. It will be validated and evaluated in the near future. We have designed and recorded a multimodal dataset from a mobile robot to be able to experiment with computational models of grounding action-related concepts into the sensorimotor state of the robot. We proposed an object and event-based representation along with a probabilistic model that can be learned on those representations. They integrate as potential components of the grounding process. We discussed probabilistic generative modeling capabilities in a cognitive agent, and the usefulness of internal simulations of the environment and novelty detection to acquire language. Future work will test the validity of our propositions.
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