Velic Coordination in French Nasals: a Real-time Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study
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Abstract

Production of nasal vowels in French, and nasal consonants in French and English, was examined using real-time magnetic resonance imaging (rtMRI). The coordination of velic and lingual gestures was found to be tightly controlled across different prosodic contexts in French nasals. Velum lowering in English nasal consonants did not show the same control, although the timing of the corresponding lingual gestures varied with prosodic context in the same way as for French nasals, suggesting a coordinative relationship in which oral and velic articulators are consistently phased in French nasal production. These findings illustrate the utility of real-time MRI as a method for studying velic activity and articulatory coordination in vocalic and nasal phonology. 
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1. Introduction

The steady-state phonetic properties of nasal and nasalized vowels have been well documented [1, 2, 3, 4], and lingual articulation during nasal vowel production has also been examined using a variety of techniques [5, 6, 7, 8]. Although we have a good understanding from these and other studies of the phonetic characteristics of nasalized vowels at their target postures, less is known about the coordination of oral and velic gestures in nasalized vowels.

Most kinematic data on velum activity concern nasal consonant production in languages which do not phonologically contrast oral and nasal vowels [9, 10]. For example, it has been demonstrated that velic and labial gestures are synchronous in English syllable onset nasals, but velum lowering precedes labial closure in coda nasal consonants [11, 12, 13] – a coordinative pattern which is not feasible in French, where oral and nasal vowels are phonologically contrastive before nasal consonants [14].

Because French uses both consonants and vowels that contrast in nasality, it allows for the examination of the nature of compositionality in segments that contrast oral and velic activity. If nasal vowels and nasal consonants are cognitively structured in the same way, we would expect the velum to be coordinated with its concomitant lingual gestures in the same way. Insights into articulatory coordination can be gained by examining the effects of prosodic slowing on different types of segments, depending on their position in the phrase [15]. By comparing the realization of phrase-medial and phrase-final segments in French and English, for example, we can determine whether prosodic slowing affects velic activity the same way in nasal consonants and nasal vowels.

1.1. Studying Velic Articulation

One reason for the lack of kinematic data on nasalization is the difficulty of obtaining reliable information about the state of the velum. Articulometry [16] and x-ray microbeam are ill-suited to nasalization studies because it is problematic to place sensors on the velum. Ultrasound cannot reliably image the velum. Videofiberscopy [17], photonasography [18] and Velotrace [10] studies are highly invasive, and x-ray and cinefluorography expose subjects to unacceptable levels of radiation.

Real-time MRI is a safe, non-invasive technique that provides views of the entire midsagittal plane of the vocal tract at sufficiently high frame rates to examine articulatory coordination of lingual, labial and velic articulators [19, 20]. Byrd et al. demonstrated the utility of the technique for examining velic coordination in English nasal consonants [11]. Delvaux et al. compared target lingual and velum postures for Belgian French vowels acquired using 5 f.p.s. real-time MRI [6], but did not investigate tongue-velum coordination. Teixeira et al. examined oral-nasal coordination in European Portuguese using real-time MRI data acquired at 14 f.p.s. [8].

1.2. Goals

The goal of this study is to examine the coordination of lingual and velic articulation during the production of French nasal segments in different prosodic environments. Specifically, we test two hypotheses:

i. prosodic slowing affects gestures that compose consonantal and vocalic segments in the same way;
ii. prosodic slowing is insensitive to whether a gesture is oral or velic

2. Method

Words contrasting nasal consonants and vowels were elicited in two prosodic contexts – phrase-medial and phrase-final – from two native speakers of French, and compared with nasal consonants produced in the same contexts by two native speakers of English. The French study participants were a 29 year old female born and raised in Montpellier (Fr1), and a 21 year old female born in Nantes and raised in Toulouse (Fr2), both native
speakers of Standard French. The English speakers were a 41 year old Australian male from Sydney (En1, one of the authors) and a 31 year old American male from Buffalo, NY (En2, also one of the authors). Stimuli are listed in Table 1.1

2.1. Image Acquisition

Data were acquired using a rtMRI protocol developed specifically for the study of speech production [19]. Subjects' upper airways were imaged midsagittally with spatial resolution 68 x 68 pixels, field of view 200 x 200 mm, and a temporal reconstruction rate of 33.18 f.p.s. Speech was recorded inside the scanner at 20 kHz, simultaneously with the MRI acquisition, and later noise-reduced [21]. The companion video and audio recordings allow for dynamic visualization of the entire midsagittal plane of the vocal tract, including the velum and nasopharynx.

2.2. Articulatory Analysis

MRI data were loaded into a custom graphical user interface designed for the synchronization, inspection and analysis of companion audio and video recordings [22]. Articulatory landmarks (detailed below) in the syllables of interest were identified by examining video sequences and time-aligned audio and spectra. Frame times were recorded, from which time intervals between the articulatory events of interest were calculated. Where image transitions in the default frame sequence were considered to be too temporally coarse to capture events of interest, video sub-intervals were reconstructed at higher frame rates to facilitate finer temporal resolution of articulatory details.

Time from syllable onset was measured from the frame showing maximal lip closure in the initial labial consonant /p,f,v/ beginning each target syllable (Fig. 1, left). Lingual targets in coronal consonants /t,n/ were identified in frames showing maximal tongue tip closure in the alveolar region (Fig. 2, center). Vocalic lingual targets /a,˘a,˘a,E,˘E/ were identified in frames showing maximum lowering and retraction of the tongue body in the pharyngeal region (e.g. Fig. 1, right). Timings for nasal segments /n,˘V/ were measured with respect to the first frame showing velum lowering in the video sequence (e.g. Fig. 1, center).

3. Results

Articulation by subject Fr2 of the low back nasal vowel in the French word pan [p˘a] ‘pane’ is illustrated in Fig. 1. Vowel lowering (frame 291) commences soon after the release of the initial labial (frame 288). By the time the tongue body achieves its target posture, the velum is fully lowered (frame 293), and remains open throughout the production of the vowel.

Production of the coda nasal consonant in the French word panne [pan] ‘failure’, by subject Fr2, is illustrated in Fig. 2. The velum remains raised throughout the articulation of the pre-consonantal vowel (frame 201), then lowers as the tongue tip moves towards its alveolar target (frame 204).

Production of the English coda nasal consonant in the word Yvonne [˘i:v˘ou˘n] by Subject En1 is shown in Fig. 3. Like the coordination observed in the French vowel (Fig. 1), but unlike the velic activity observed during French nasal consonant production (Fig. 2), velum lowering commences soon after the release of the initial labial (frame 144), and the velum is already fully open by the time the tongue body achieves its target posture (frame 147) – well before the tongue tip achieves the alveolar lingual target of the coda consonant (frame 150).

3.1. Quantifying Lingual and Velic Timing

For each utterance, six time intervals were calculated between four articulatory landmarks in the target syllable, using the criteria described in §2.2: (i) onset to vocalic target (V); (ii) onset to initiation of velic lowering (Vel); (iii) onset to tongue tip closure (TT); (iv) vocalic target to initiation of velic lowering; (v) vocalic target to tongue tip closure; and (vi) initiation of velic lowering to tongue tip closure. Mean durations of each interval are compared in phrase-medial (control) and phrase final (boundary-lengthened) position for both languages in Table 2.

3.2. Lingual and Velic Coordination

In the eight French words containing nasal vowels examined in this study, velum lowering commenced an average of 16 msec (subject Fr1) and 58 msec (Fr2) before the tongue body achieved its vocalic target, when the lexical target was uttered.

Figure 1: French nasal vowel production: pan [p˘a] ‘pane’. Frame 288: initial labial stop; f291: tongue body retraction and initiation of velum lowering; f293: tongue body at target vowel posture, velum fully open.

Figure 2: French coda nasal consonant production: panne [pan] ‘failure’. Frame 198: initial labial stop; f201: velum remains raised during nuclear vowel; f204: velic lowering during coda alveolar nasal stop.

Figure 3: Coda nasal consonant production in English: Yvonne [˘i:v˘ou˘n]. Frame 144: initial labial fricative of target syllable; f147: velum fully open as tongue body achieves vowel target; f150: velum in maximally lowered position during coda alveolar nasal stop.

1Tokens pet(s) and pen(s) were not elicited from subject En1.
In the eight French words containing coda nasal consonants elicited here, velum lowering commenced on average 53 msec (subject Fr1) and 51 msec (Fr2) before the tongue tip after the gesture to which it is associated with a vowel or a consonant, as predicted by compositional principles. However, for subject Fr1, these data suggest that the effect of prosodic boundaries on velum gesture timing in French may be the same regardless of whether the nasalization is associated with a vowel or a consonant, as predicted by compositional principles. In both French and English, syllable duration (time from onset to the final gesture) is lengthened at phrase boundaries. Timings are consistent with the hypothesis that lengthening is progressive as the boundary is approached: in both languages, intervals from syllable onset to coda consonant TT target lengths more than the interval from syllable onset to V target. (Alternatively, this could indicate that lengthening is proportional to duration). Overall, and for subject Fr1, these data suggest that the effect of prosodic boundaries on velum gesture timing in French may be the same regardless of whether the nasalization is associated with a vowel or a consonant, as predicted by compositional principles. In both types of segment, mean initiation of velic activity is delayed with respect to the onset (Table 3), and the mean time by which it precedes the gesture to which it is associated increases modestly at a phrase boundary for both nasal vowels (37 ms) and nasal consonants (9 ms). However, for subject Fr2, unlike Fr1, no change in the coordination of tongue-tip and velum lowering gestures was observed in phrase-final, control environments.

---

Table 1: Stimuli used in rMRI experiment. Nasal segments elicited in labial-initial words to provide a common articulatory landmark at syllable onset. Nasal segments compared with coronal non-nasals in (i) word-final position, and (ii) one segment removed from the word edge. All words elicited in both phrase-final and phrase-medial (control) environments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No velum lowering</th>
<th>Boundary</th>
<th>French Phrase medial</th>
<th>Boundary</th>
<th>English Phrase medial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>[at]</td>
<td>Voici un nouveau pate</td>
<td>[at]</td>
<td>I like the pot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii.</td>
<td>[at]</td>
<td>Voici une nouvelle batte</td>
<td>[at]</td>
<td>I like the butt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[et]</td>
<td>Voici une nouvelle bete</td>
<td>[et]</td>
<td>I like the pet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[rt]</td>
<td>Voici une nouvelle fete</td>
<td>[rt]</td>
<td>I like the bet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[to]</td>
<td>Voici un nouveau bateau</td>
<td>[to]</td>
<td>I like the pots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[to]</td>
<td>Voici un nouveau veto</td>
<td>[to]</td>
<td>I like the butts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[te]</td>
<td>Voici un nouveau pate</td>
<td>[te]</td>
<td>I like the pets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ty]</td>
<td>Voici un nouveau fete</td>
<td>[ty]</td>
<td>I like the bets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Table 3: Boundary Lengthening in French Nasal Syllables. Additional duration (msec) between articulatory landmarks in phrase-final syllables, compared to phrase-medial (control) mean durations (Table 2).

---

3.3. Velic Articulation and the Influence of Prosody

To quantify the effect of prosodic context on gestural timing, the difference between phrase-final and phrase-medial (control) durations was calculated for each interval. Mean duration differences between both prosodic contexts, calculated across all comparable utterances by both speakers of each language, are shown in Table 3.

In both French and English, syllable duration (time from onset to the final gesture) is lengthened at phrase boundaries. Timings are consistent with the hypothesis that lengthening is progressive as the boundary is approached: in both languages, intervals from syllable onset to coda consonant TT target lengths more than the interval from syllable onset to V target. (Alternatively, this could indicate that lengthening is proportional to duration). Overall, and for subject Fr1, these data suggest that the effect of prosodic boundaries on velum gesture timing in French may be the same regardless of whether the nasalization is associated with a vowel or a consonant, as predicted by compositional principles. In both types of segment, mean initiation of velic activity is delayed with respect to the onset (Table 3), and the mean time by which it precedes the gesture to which it is associated increases modestly at a phrase boundary for both nasal vowels (37 ms) and nasal consonants (9 ms). However, for subject Fr2, unlike Fr1, no change in the coordination of tongue-tip and velum lowering gestures was observed in phrase-final, control environments.

---

The word *fan* was produced ([ɛ̃]) by subject Fr1, and [fan] by subject Fr2; the word *ban* was produced [ba] by subject Fr1, and [ban] by subject Fr2.
pared to phrase-medial elicitation conditions.

### 4. Discussion

As expected, velic articulation is timed differently in French nasal vowels and nasal consonants. Initiation of velum lowering appears to precede target achievement of the gesture to which it is coordinated by a similar interval of time, regardless of whether that gesture is C or V. For example, in the phrase-final condition, velum lowering precedes the vowel target by an average interval of 51 to 66 ms in words containing nasal vowels and precedes the coda consonant target by mean intervals of 68 to 72 msec in words containing nasal consonants. In English, the pattern of velic timing in coda nasal consonants is more like that observed in French nasal vowels in that velum lowering precedes achievement of the tautosyllabic vowel target; unlike in any French type of nasal segment, this results in tongue-velum coordination patterns spread over a much larger part of the syllable in English, and C-Velum durations up to five times larger than any observed in French (Table 2).

These data indicate that French nasal segments may be produced with two different timings of velic activity for utterances with nasal vowels vs. nasal coda consonants. However, consistent with a compositional, coupling-graph view of coordination [23], the timing between the velic gesture and the oral constriction gesture to which it is predicted to be coupled appears to be relatively consistent, regardless of whether that gesture is consonantal or vocalic, and that, at least in the case of segments occurring in the syllable rime, slowing of the velic gesture due to a prosodic boundary affects both consonantal and vocalic nasal segments. The results of this preliminary investigation are consistent with a model in which velic gestures in French are consistently coordinated, and therefore uniformly affected by pi-gestures [15], although more data are required to examine exactly how these patterns of coordination differ between individuals [24, 16, 25], lexical items, and location in the syllable. In English, the pattern of coordination exhibited by the velum gesture in coda nasals resembles that observed in the nasal vowel case in French, in that it precedes achievement of the tautosyllabic tongue body gesture. This is consistent with patterns of nasal coordination previously observed in English [12, 26, 13, 11], and the hypothesized coupled graph in Goldstein, et al. (2006) [23]. The results across the phase boundary variation also support the hypothesis that velic gesture is being coordinated with respect to the vowel—i.e., it maintains its relation to the vowel as slowing occurs, as much as it does for the nasal vowels in French. However, when we look at how the velic gesture is coordinated with respect to the coda TT gesture, it shows marked changes as a function of boundary, preceding the TT gesture by 77 ms more at phrase boundary than phrase-internally. This variability in oral-velic coordination as a function of boundary strength resembles patterns observed in other multi-gestural segments, such as tongue tip-tongue dorsum coordination in English coda laterals [27].

It remains to be seen whether these other cases can also be profitably examined in terms of timing with respect to vowel, rather than coda consonant. In general, it is not yet known how these patterns of coordination vary across segments in different languages, and how they are influenced by prosodic gestures at higher levels of phonological organization. One interesting difference between French and English is that the interval from the onset consonant target to vowel target does not appear to lengthen much in English, particularly in the word with the final nasal. This may suggest that the scope of lengthening is more restricted in English, or that the coordination of the pi-gesture with the constriction gestures is different in English and French. More data are required to examine these issues further.

### 5. Conclusions

The results of this study provide further insights into the mechanisms of production of nasal vowels and consonants in French, and inter-articulator coordination in nasal segments in general. The data suggest that velic articulation is consistently coordinated with oral articulation during the production of nasal segments in French. The patterning of velic gestures for final nasal consonants in English appears to be similar to the pattern for French nasal vowels, as was suggested by earlier research. These data further illustrate the importance of real-time MRI as a method for studying velic activity and articulatory coordination in nasalization studies.
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