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Abstract

Non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) aims at finding non-negative representations of nonnegative data. Among different NMF algorithms, alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM) is a popular one with superior performance. However, we find that ADMM shows instability and inferior performance on real-world data like speech signals. In this paper, to solve this problem, we develop a class of advanced regularized ADMM algorithms for NMF. Efficient and robust learning rules are achieved by incorporating $l_1$-norm and the Frobenius norm regularization. The prior information of Laplacian distribution of data is used to solve the problem with a unique solution. We evaluate this class of ADMM algorithms using both synthetic and real speech signals for a source separation task at different cost functions, i.e., Euclidean distance (EUD), Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence and Itakura-Saito (IS) divergence. Results demonstrate that the proposed algorithms converge faster and yield more stable and accurate results than the original ADMM algorithm.

Index Terms: Regularized non-negative matrix factorization, Alternating direction method of multipliers, Beta-divergence, Source separation

1. Introduction

In the real-world, it is necessary and desirable to perform both non-negative and sparse decompositions of data such that the underlying components have a physical interpretation. NMF has been found to be a useful decomposition for multivariate data. In particular, NMF has been widely used in audio signal processing such as polyphonic music transcription [1] and source separation [2].

Given a data matrix $V \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ with non-negative entries, NMF aims at finding two low-rank matrices $W \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times K}$ and $H \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$ ($K < M, N$) such that

$$\min_{W \succeq 0, H \succeq 0} D(V | WH^T),$$

where $D(\cdot)$ represents some measure of divergence. The commonly used measures in NMF are the special cases of beta-divergence [3]: EUD [4, 5, 6, 7, 8], KL-divergence [4, 9] and IS-divergence [10, 11]. Different cost functions can produce significantly different results [12]. In general, the problem is bi-convex in $W$ and $H$ separately, so many algorithms adopt an alternating minimization approach to find the locally optimal solution.

The most popular approach is a simple multiplicative update method proposed by Lee and Seung [4], but the convergence of the algorithm to a stationary point has not yet been proved [13]. Under the Euclidean NMF framework, numerous algorithms have been proposed, such as the block principal pivoting (BPP) method [7], the hierarchical alternating least squares (HALS) method [8] and the basic gradient projection (GPSR) method [6]. These algorithms have a common property that every produced limit point is a stationary point [7]. Based on KL-divergence and IS-divergence, several algorithms have also been proposed for specific applications. For example, Virtanen et al. considered gamma chains for regularization of KL-NMF [14], while Févotte et al. considered the inverse-gamma and gamma Markov chain priors for IS-NMF [11]. All of these mentioned algorithms rely on special properties of EUD, KL-divergence and IS-divergence and are not universal for different applications.

To extend the NMF algorithm to diverse applications using different cost functions, recently, Sun et al. [15] developed an ADMM-based universal update framework which features faster convergence and better accuracy than the state-of-the-art algorithms on synthetic data sets. However, we find that for real non-negative data, e.g., speech spectrum, ADMM results in lower performance than our expectation in terms of stability and accuracy.

In this paper, in order to guarantee the stability of ADMM and the accuracy of NMF, we propose a novel ADMM update framework for NMF. Specifically, we develop a class of advanced regularized ADMM algorithms for NMF to achieve efficient and robust learning (update) rules by incorporating $l_1$-norm and the Frobenius-norm regularization. The $l_1$-norm promotes sparse solutions which can guarantee a uniqueness of solution for NMF [16]. On the other hand, from the Bayesian perspective, the $l_1$-norm can improve the accuracy of the algorithm for NMF if the priori information of a variable follows a Laplacian distribution [16], which coincides with the distribution of some real data, e.g., speech signal [17]. The Frobenius-norm can be used to stabilize the algorithm. As a special case of Tikhonov regularization [18], it can be viewed as a regularization technique to overcome the ill-condition problem. The proposed framework turns the updates of variables $W$ and $H$ into a nonnegativity-constrained least squares (NNLS) problem, then numerous Euclidean NMF algorithms can be adopted to update $W$ and $H$. Instead of solving the close-form solution, the new update rules can make the solution much more stable and accelerate the convergence speed.

In this study, we adopt the state-of-the-art Euclidean NMF algorithms including the BPP method [7], the HALS method [8] and the GPSR method [6]. We evaluate this class of advanced ADMM algorithms using synthetic data and real non-
negative data. We compare the performance of ADMM algorithms on source separation using EUD, KL-divergence and JS-divergence as cost functions. Experimental results show that the proposed algorithms have fast convergence and yield more stable and accurate results than the original ADMM algorithm.

2. Conventional ADMM for NMF

We note that NMF with different divergences are used in different applications. In order to apply ADMM algorithm to various applications, Sun and Févotte [15] developed an ADMM-based update framework to extend the NMF problem to β-divergence. They proposed to split divergence with dictionary and activation which makes optimization simpler and much more universal for different divergences than the existing algorithms.

2.1. Non-negative matrix factorization

In the approach proposed by Sun [15], the NMF can be formulated as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad D_\beta(V|X) \\
\text{subject to} & \quad X = WH^T, \\
& \quad W = W_+, H = H_+, \\
& \quad W_+ \geq 0, H_+ \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

where \(D_\beta(V|X)\) is considered as some measure of \(\beta\)-divergence between \(V\) and \(X\). \(W\) and \(H\) are the dictionary matrix and activation matrix, respectively. This approach introduces new variables \(W_+\) and \(H_+\) as the nonnegative constraints. A new variable \(X\) splits the divergence with \(WH^T\) which makes optimization problem simpler and also more universal for different divergences. The augmented Lagrangian corresponding to Eq.(2) is as follows

\[
L_\rho(X,W,H,W_+,H_+,\alpha_X,\alpha_W,\alpha_H) = D_\beta(V|X) + \langle \alpha_X, X - WH^T \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \|X - WH^T\|^2_F + \langle \alpha_W, W - W_+ \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \|W - W_+\|^2_F + \langle \alpha_H, H - H_+ \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \|H - H_+\|^2_F. 
\]  

(3)

The \(\alpha_X, \alpha_W, \alpha_H\) are three dual variables, \((W,H,X)\) and \((W_+,H_+)\) are five primal variables, \(\rho\) is dual step size.

2.2. Alternating optimization

In the approach proposed by Sun [15], the updates alternately optimize \(L_\rho\) with respect to five primal variables, followed by gradient ascent in each of the three dual variables. In particular, the updates of \(W\) and \(H\) rely on the differentiability of \(L_\rho\) on \(W\) and \(H\). We can adopt conventional method of solving extreme value to set the first derivative equal to zero. The root formula and Cardan formula are used to update \(X\), which corresponds to \(\beta = 1\) and \(\beta = 0\), respectively. The updates of \(W_+\) and \(H_+\) adopt methods based on proximity operator [15, 19]. The detailed update rules can be found in [15].

3. A class of advanced regularized ADMM for NMF

3.1. Regularized NMF model

We incorporate regularization including \(l_1\)-norm and the Frobenius-norm in the universal ADMM framework. \(l_1\)-norm can promote a uniqueness of solution with sparse constraints. Moreover, the incorporation of the \(l_1\)-norm is equal to bringing in a priori information that the distribution of data is Laplacian [16]. This priori coincides with the distribution of some real data, e.g., speech signal [17]. This can improve the accuracy of the algorithm. The Frobenius-norm can be used to stabilize the algorithm. It can be viewed as a special case of Tikhonov regularization.

The incorporation of \(l_1\)-norm can improve the accuracy and sparsity. Meanwhile, the Frobenius-norm can improve the stability. However, the instability is still a problem while solving the close-form solution. Therefore, we propose a novel ADMM algorithm framework for NMF which turns the updates of variable \(W\) and \(H\) into a non-negative least squares (NNLS) problem. Under this framework, the updating value of \(W\) or \(H\) is non-negative. Therefore, \(W_+\) and \(H_+\) can be discarded. If we consider the \(l_1\)-norm of \(H\) and the Frobenius-norm of \(W\), the NMF formulation is proposed as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad D_\beta(V|X) + \lambda_{H,l_1} \|H\|_{l_1}^2 + \lambda_{W,F} \|W\|_F^2 \\
\text{subject to} & \quad X = WH^T,
\end{align*}
\]  

(4)

where the scale parameters \(\lambda_{H,l_1}\) or \(\lambda_{W,F}\) are used to control the strength of regularization. \(X\) is for the same purpose as in Eq.(2). The augmented Lagrangian for Eq.(4) is as follows

\[
L_\rho(X,W,H,\alpha_X) = D_\beta(V|X) + \langle \alpha_X, X - WH^T \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \|X - WH^T\|^2_F + \lambda_{H,l_1} \|H\|_{l_1}^2 + \lambda_{W,F} \|W\|_F^2.
\]

(5)

The proposed ADMM algorithm consists of three primal variables \((X,W,H)\) and one dual variable \(\alpha_X\). It simplifies the form of NMF more than the form in Eq.(2) proposed by Sun et al [15].

3.2. Alternating optimization

According to the ADMM algorithm, Eq.(5) can be solved by the following iterations

\[
\begin{align*}
W^{k+1} & := \arg\min_W L_\rho(X^k,W,H^{k+1},\alpha_X) = \min_{L_{\rho,W}} W \\
H^{k+1} & := \arg\min_H L_\rho(X^k,W^{k+1},H,\alpha_X) = \min_{L_{\rho,H}} H \\
X^{k+1} & := \arg\min_X L_\rho(X,W^{k+1},H^{k+1},\alpha_X) = \min_{L_{\rho,X}} X
\end{align*}
\]

(6a)

(6b)

(6c)

\[
\alpha_X^{k+1} := \alpha_X^k + \rho (X^{k+1} - W^{k+1} (H^{k+1})^T).
\]

(6d)

Applying (5) to (6c), the minimization form on \(X\) is the same with that proposed by Sun [15]. Similarly, we adopt the same update rules as the approach proposed by Sun. For the update rules on \(W\) and \(H\), we firstly propose a new optimization framework which combines the scale form of ADMM with the properties of regularization item. Under this framework, the minimization problem on \(W\) or \(H\) becomes an NNLS problem. We adopt the state-of-the-art Euclidean NMF algorithms including BPP [7], HALS [8] and GSPR [6] to update \(W\) and \(H\).

\[1\]The Frobenius-norm of \(H\) and the \(l_1\)-norm of \(W\) can also be adopted, but here we leave out them for simplify of form. If they are considered, the scale parameter are \(\lambda_{H,F}\) and \(\lambda_{W,l_1}\), respectively.
3.2.1. Proposed optimization framework

**Update on W:** Applying (5) to (6a) while considering the incorporation of the Frobenius-norm, the minimization problem is stated as

\[ L_{\rho,W} = \langle X, X - WH^T \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| X - WH^T \right\|^2_F + \lambda_{W,F} \left\| W \right\|^2_F. \]

The scaled form of \( L_{\rho,W} \) is

\[ L_{\rho,W} = \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| X - WH^T + \mu \right\|^2_F + \lambda_{W,F} \left\| W \right\|^2_F + \text{const}, \quad (7) \]

where \( \mu = \frac{1}{\rho} \alpha X \). Since const is a constant value which has no effect on the minimization problem, the above form can be rewritten as

\[ L_{\rho,W} = \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| HW^T - (X + \mu)^T \right\|^2_F + \lambda_{W,F} \left\| W \right\|^2_F. \quad (8) \]

According to properties of Frobenius-norm, Eq.(8) can be rewritten as follows

\[ L_{\rho,W} = \left\| \left( \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2} H} \right) W^T - \left( \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2}} (X + \mu)^T \right) \right\|^2_F, \quad (9) \]

where \( W_{\mathbf{K}} \) is a \( K \times K \) identity matrix and \( 0_{K \times M} \) is a \( K \times M \) zero matrix.

**Update on H:** Applying (5) to (6b) while considering the l1-norm, the minimization problem becomes

\[ L_{\rho,H} = \langle X, X - WH^T \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| X - WH^T \right\|^2_F + \lambda_{H,l1} \left\| H \right\|_1, \]

where we adopt squares of the l1-norm of the columns of \( H \) as \( \left\| H \right\|_1^2; \) i.e.,

\[ \left\| H \right\|_1^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| h_n \right\|_1^2, \]

where \( h_n \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times K} \) is a row of \( H \). According to the same manner of Eq.7 and Eq.8, the \( L_{\rho,H} \) is as follows

\[ L_{\rho,H} = \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| WH^T - (X + \mu) \right\|^2_F + \lambda_{H,l1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| h_n \right\|_1 \quad (10) \]

Then according to the properties of l1-norm, \( L_{\rho,H} \) can be rewritten as

\[ L_{\rho,H} = \left\| \left( \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2}} W \right) H^T - \left( \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2}} (X + \mu) \right) \right\|^2_F, \quad (11) \]

where \( 1_{1 \times K} \) is a row vector containing only ones.

3.2.2. Euclidean NMF Optimization

If we can guarantee the non-negativity of \( X + \mu \) in Eq.(9) and Eq.(11), the minimization of Eq.(9) and Eq.(11) can be viewed as a Euclidean NMF problem. \( X + \mu \) can be rewritten as

\[ X + \mu = X + \frac{1}{\rho} \alpha X, \quad (12) \]

where \( X \) is the estimation of \( V \) and is non-negative, and the sign of \( \alpha X \) is uncertain. Therefore, our algorithm is to adapt the step size \( \rho \) to guarantee the non-negativity of \( X + \mu \). In particular, we firstly find the index of negative value in \( \alpha X \), and extract the value in \( X \) according to the index. Then, we add the corresponding value according to Eq.(12) and set each equation equal to zero. Finally, we select the maximal value of \( \rho \) as the current value. When the value of \( \rho \) is settled, we can apply the Euclidean NMF algorithms in the proposed framework.

In this study, we adopt the Euclidean NMF algorithms including BPP, HALS and GPSR. The BPP algorithm is an active-set-like method and allows exchanges of multiple variables between working sets. On the other hand, when \( W \) or \( H \) is not necessarily full column rank, the BPP algorithm may break down. However, the regularization item especially for the Frobenius-norm can be adopted to remedy this problem [7]. The HALS algorithm minimizes a set of local cost functions with the same global minima. It allows to formulate a very basic subproblem that can be solved in a closed form. In practice, a zero column could occur in \( W \) or \( H \) during the HALS algorithm. Typically a small number \( \varepsilon \approx 10^{-16} \) is used to take place zero. Due to this modification, the result of HALS is not sparse. In the same manner as BPP, we incorporate Frobenius-norm in the formulation which can solve this problem [20]. The GP-SR algorithm searches from each iterate \( W^k \) or \( H^k \) along the negative gradient of \( D(W^k) \) or \( D(H^k) \) and projects onto the non-negative orthant. Step length is chosen by a back-tracking line search to satisfy Armijo’s rule. Compared with the projected method [5], GPSR uses an initial guess for step length. This yields the exact minimizer of \( D \) along this direction and also confines the step length to an interval which protects against the step length too small or too large. In this paper, the original ADMM algorithm is named as ADMM-ORI and the proposed algorithms are named as ADMM_BPP, ADMM_HALS and ADMM_GPSR, respectively.

4. Experimental Evaluations

We evaluated the proposed algorithms, i.e., ADMM_BPP, ADMM_HALS and ADMM_GPSR using both synthetic and real data sets.

We firstly evaluate ADMM-ORI and the proposed algorithms with synthetic data considering KL-divergence as a cost function and setting \( \rho = 1 \). The synthetic data \( V \) is constructed as \( V = W \ast H \) where the initial dictionary matrix \( W \) and the activation matrix \( H \) are generated as the absolute values of Gaussian noise \( \mathcal{N}(0,1) \) and \( M = 513, K = 25 \) and \( N = 185 \). For the proposed algorithms, we set \( \lambda_{H,l1} = 0.01 \), \( \lambda_{W,F} = 0.01 \), \( \lambda_{H,l1} = 0 \) and \( \lambda_{W,F} = 0.01 \). As shown in Fig 1, for synthetic data, the proposed algorithms almost have same performance, and convergence speed with ADMM-ORI, especially for ADMM_BPP. On the other hand, compared with ADMM-ORI, the proposed algorithms are much more stable. We also compare the performance of ADMM-ORI and the proposed algorithms using real spectrum data, taking KL as a cost function and setting \( \rho = 0.5 \). As shown in Fig 2, ADMM-ORI results in an unstable and low-accuracy solution. In contrast, the proposed algorithms significantly outperform the ADMM-ORI by a large margin in terms of stability and accuracy. In particular, ADMM_BPP and ADMM_GPSR possess a faster convergence speed and achieve better accuracy. Compared with ADMM_GPSR, ADMM_BPP and ADMM_HALS are much more efficient.

We then compare the proposed algorithms with the ADMM-ORI on source separation using EUD, KL-divergence and IS-divergence as cost functions. We adopt a general source separation pipeline using NMF [2]. We use the signal-to-distortion (SDR) ratio as the evaluation criterion [21]. The same initialization is adopted for all of the ADMM algorithms. We set

\[ \text{In MATLAB notation: } V = \text{abs(randn}(M,K)) \ast \text{abs(randn}(K,N)) \]
Table 1: Average SDR for different ADMM algorithms. The last column is the average SDR calculated on all 8 different noises.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALGORITHMS</th>
<th>destroy0engine</th>
<th>f16</th>
<th>factory1</th>
<th>factory2</th>
<th>m109</th>
<th>pink</th>
<th>volvo</th>
<th>white</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Figure 1: Comparison of the proposed ADMM algorithms with ADMM_ORI on synthetic data: KL as cost function and $\rho = 1$.

Figure 2: Comparison of the proposed ADMM algorithms with ADMM_ORI on real spectrum data: KL as cost function and $\rho = 0.5$.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, in order to guarantee the stability of ADMM while improving the accuracy, we propose a new ADMM based NMF update framework. Specifically, we develop a class of advanced regularized ADMM algorithms for NMF to achieve efficient and robust learning (update) rules by incorporating $l_1$-norm and the Frobenius-norm regularization. The $l_1$-norm promotes a uniqueness of solutions and represents that a priori on variable is Laplacian. This priori information coincides with the distribution of some real data, e.g., speech signal, which improves the accuracy of algorithm. The Frobenius-norm is a special case of Tikhonov regularization which can be adopted to stabilize the algorithms especially for ADMM, BPP and ADMM_HALS. The proposed framework turns the updates of variable $W$ and $H$ into the NNLS problem. In this study, we adopt BPP, HALS and GPSR to update variables instead of solving the close-form solution. The new update rules under the proposed framework can make the solution much more stable and accelerate the convergence speed. We evaluate the ADMM algorithms using synthetic data and real data. We compare the performance of ADMM algorithms on source separation using EUD, KL-divergence and IS-divergence as cost functions. Results demonstrate that the proposed algorithms converge faster and yield more stable and accurate results than the conventional ADMM algorithm.
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