Detection of Glottal Activity Errors in Production of Stop Consonants in Children with Cleft Lip and Palate
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Abstract

Individuals with cleft lip and palate (CLP) alter the glottal activity characteristics during the production of stop consonants. The presence/absence of glottal vibrations during the production of unvoiced/voiced stops is referred as glottal activity error (GAE). In this work, acoustic-phonetic and production based knowledge of stop consonants are exploited to propose an algorithm for the automatic detection of GAE. The algorithm uses zero frequency filtered and band-pass (500-4000 Hz) filtered speech signals to identify the syllable nuclei positions, followed by the detection of glottal activity characteristics of consonant present within the syllable. Based on the identified glottal activity characteristics of consonant and a priori voicing information of target stop consonant, the presence or absence of GAE is detected. The algorithm is evaluated over the database containing the responses of normal children and children with repaired CLP for the target consonant-vowel-consonant-vowel words with stop consonants.

Index Terms: Articulation errors, cleft lip and palate, glottal activity errors, and stop consonants.

1. Introduction

Glottal activity refers to the quasi-periodic vibration of vocal folds during the production of voiced speech [1]. Glottal activity during the articulatory closure phase of stops is considered as a primary feature to discriminate the voiced and unvoiced stops. Articulatory closure phase of voiced stops is characterized by a weakly voiced signal (voice bar), whereas silence in case of unvoiced stops [2]. During the articulatory closure phase of stops, it is necessary to close both oral and nasal cavities, in order to develop the intraoral air pressure ($P_0$). The speakers with cleft lip and palate (CLP) are unable to build adequate $P_0$, due to the loss of airflow through the velopharyngeal gap or oro-nasal fistula. As a result, CLP speaker greatly alters the characteristics of stops and produces articulation errors.

Different types of articulation errors such as nasalized stops, weak stops, devoicing errors, glottal, pharyngeal, palatal, and nasal substitutions are reported in the literature related to CLP speech [3, 4, 5, 6]. Among these errors, nasal substitution for unvoiced stops is produced with highly altered glottal activity characteristics. During the production of unvoiced stops, the loss of $P_0$ increases the pressure across the glottis. This may initiate the glottal vibrations during the articulatory closure period of unvoiced stops. Replacement of silence bar of unvoiced stop by voiced nasal consonants is reported in Ref. [7]. During the production of voiced stops, speakers with CLP may suppress the glottal vibrations by completely opening or closing of the vocal folds. This will result in the production of devoicing error or glottal stops. The absence of glottal vibrations during voiced stops and their presence during the production of unvoiced stops are collectively referred as glottal activity errors (GAEs).

Stops constitute a major class of sound units in a language. Hence, the assessment of stops is considered to be important during the diagnosis and therapy of CLP speakers. Objective evaluation of articulation errors using signal processing techniques will be helpful for the speech-language pathologists (SLPs) [8, 9]. In the literature, most of the works are focused on the detection of hypernasality in CLP speakers [10, 11, 12]. With respect to articulation errors, methods for the detection of laryngeal backing, pharyngeal backing, nasalized consonants, weak pressure consonants, and glottal stops are proposed [8, 13]. However, as per the knowledge of existing literature, no works have been addressed the detection of GAEs in CLP speakers. Detection of GAE gives the important information about the deviant glottal source mechanism during the production of stops. This excitation source specific information may help SLPs to correct the deviant glottal vibration mechanism in CLP speakers.

The current work is mainly motivated to develop a signal processing method for the detection of GAE during the production of stop consonants by speakers with CLP. The proposed GAE detection algorithm uses acoustic-phonetic features derived from zero frequency filtered and band-pass filtered speech signals. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the database and perceptual evaluation. Algorithm for the automatic detection of GAE is presented in Section 3. The experimental results are discussed in Section 4. The conclusion and the possible future directions are mentioned in Section 5.

2. Database and Perceptual Evaluation

In this work, 37 children with repaired CLP in the age range of 6 to 12 years were considered. 30 typically developed children were considered in the same age range. All the participants considered for the study had Kannada as their native language. The language abilities of all the participants with repaired CLP were age adequate. Individuals with other associated problems like hearing loss, intellectual disability, and nasal pathologies were excluded from this study.

Speech stimuli are comprised of 8 non-meaningful disyllabic consonant-vowel-consonant-vowel (CVCV) words containing stop consonants. Unvoiced stop consonants: bilabial /p/, dental /t/, retroflex /T/, and velar /k/; their corresponding voiced cognates: /b/, /d/, /d/ and /g/ are used with the combination of vowel /a/ to form the CVCV words like /papa/, /tata/, /TaTa/, etc. Participants were asked to repeat the words after the tester. The responses were recorded in a sound-treated room with a
sampling frequency of 48,000 Hz and digitized at 16 bits per samples using Bruel & Kjaer sound level meter (type 2250-s handheld analyzer). All the recorded samples are analyzed us-

Table 1: Description of database with the number of stop consonants with glottal activity error absent: GAE (0) and present: GAE (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No. of Speakers</th>
<th>Target: voiced stop</th>
<th>Target: unvoiced stop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>25 (13 Male+12 Female)</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLP</td>
<td>37 (21 Male + 16 Female)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Method for The Detection of Glottal Activity Errors

The proposed algorithm for the detection of GAE involves different stages such as the detection of glottal activity regions, syllable nuclei locations, and voicing nature of consonant present in the syllable.

3.1. Detection of glottal activity regions

Zero frequency filter based approach is used for the detection of glottal activity regions. Zero frequency filtering of speech signal consists of the passage of differenced speech signal through a cascade of two ideal zero Hz resonators [15]. The output of the resonator contains cumulative DC bias, which is removed by local mean subtraction process. The local mean subtracted signal is termed as zero frequency filtered signal (ZFFS). The positive or negative going zero crossings of ZFFS correspond to the glottal closure instants or epoch locations. The first order slope of ZFFS, computed at epoch location is referred as the strength of excitation (SoE). Figures 2(a)-(c) represent the speech signal, ZFFS, and SoE superimposed with glottal activity decision ($d_g$).

Figure 1: Illustration of waveform based cues for the analysis of glottal activity error (GAE). (a) normal voiced stop (/d/), (b)-(d) nasalized voiced stop, devoicing error, and glottal stop substitution produced for target voiced stop (/d/). (e) normal unvoiced stop (/t/), (f)-(h) palatalization error, nasal, and glottal stop substitution for the target unvoiced stop /t/.

Figure 2: Detection of glottal activity regions. (a) Speech waveform of syllable /da/ produced by normal speaker, (b) ZFFS, and (c) SoE superimposed with glottal activity decision ($d_g$).
3.2. Syllable nuclei detection

In this work, the recorded words are disyllabic in nature, where each syllable consists of a high energy vowel and a consonant. Generally, in a CV syllable, the energy of vowels is relatively higher than that of consonants. However, in CLP speakers the energy contrast between vowels and consonants is significantly reduced due to the nasalization effect. Most of the energy of nasalized components is concentrated at lower frequencies, i.e., around the first formant of nasal consonants (approximately 500 Hz). Also, the most of the energy of vowels is concentrated below 4 kHz. Therefore, a bandpass filter with passband frequencies from 0.5 to 4 kHz is used to enhance the contrast between vowels and consonants. Figure 3(a) shows the speech waveform of CVCV word containing nasalized voiced stops, and its spectrogram is depicted in Figure 3(b). The bandpass filtered speech (BPFS) is shown in Figure 3(c). When compared to speech signal (Figure 3(a)), BPFS (Figure 3(c)) shows enhanced contrast between consonants and vowels.

The epoch synchronous short-term energy of BPFS is computed using windowed frames of 20 ms anchored around each epoch. The short-term energy contour is smoothed using 100 ms hamming window (Figure 3(d)). Within the glottal activity regions, the peaks of smoothed BPFS energy profile are detected to locate the syllable nuclei. The detected syllable nuclei are shown in Figure 3(d).

3.3. Detection of voiced consonants

Once the positions of syllable nuclei are determined, the voicing nature of consonant present within the syllable needs to be analyzed. In order to identify the voiced consonants with low-frequency dominant spectral characteristics, the ratio of ZFFS to BPFS is computed as \( r_{zb}[n] = \frac{e_z[n]}{e_b[n]} \), where \( e_z[n] \) and \( e_b[n] \) are the epoch synchronous short-term energies of ZFFS and BPFS, respectively. Here, short-term energies are computed using 20 ms windowed frames anchored around epochs. Before computing the energy, ZFFS and BPFS are \( l_2 \) normalized. Figure 4(a) shows the speech waveform of CVCV word containing voiced consonant (nasalized voiced stop). Figure 4(b) shows the short-term energies of ZFFS and BPFS. In Figure 4(b), ZFFS shows relatively higher energy than BPFS at consonant regions. This is due to the fact that zero frequency filter acts as a band-pass filter around zero frequency, which allows most of the signal energy present around zero Hz. Therefore, zero frequency filter passes most of the energy present in the voiced consonants, while allowing only a part of the energy of vowels. The high-frequency energy of vowels is more than that of voiced consonants. So the energy of BPFS is higher at the vowels than that of consonant regions. As a result, the evidence \( r_{zb} \) shown in Figure 4(c) indicates higher values at voiced consonants, when compared to vowel regions. Using \( r_{zb} \) and glottal activity decision \( d_g \), binary evidence \( d_{f,vo} \) for the detection of low-frequency dominant voiced regions (LFDVR) is computed as

\[
d_{f,vo}[n] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r_{zb}[n] > T_2 \text{ and } d_g[n] = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

where the threshold \( T_2 \) is given by,

\[
T_2 = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} r_{zb}(v_j) + \beta
\]

where \( v_j \) is the location of \( j^{th} \) syllable nucleus, \( M \) is the number of detected syllable nuclei, and \( \beta \) is the relative difference of \( r_{zb} \) between vowels and low frequency dominant voiced consonants. \( \beta \) is estimated using a development set, comprised of 50 CVCV words containing voiced consonants. For each word, the difference between average values of \( e_z \) is measured at the manually marked vowel and voiced consonant regions. The mean and standard deviation of difference values across 50 words is found to be 20±10 dB. The lower bound of the distribution is found 10 dB, which is used as the \( \beta \) value to segment the LFDVRs. The decision curve \( d_{f,vo} \), by indicating detected voiced consonant regions using LFDVR evidence is depicted in Figure 4(d). The detected LFDVRs below the minimum duration of a phoneme (30 ms) are considered as spurious regions and removed from the further analysis. Within the search interval \( t_j \), defined around the \( j^{th} \) syllable nucleus \( v_j \), the consonant associated with the \( j^{th} \) syllable is characterized as voiced or unvoiced. The voicing decision for the consonant present in \( j^{th} \) syllable is given by

\[
d_{vo,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r_{zb}[\tau] = 1, \tau \in t_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

For word initial syllable \( v_1 \), the search interval \( t_1 \) is chosen from the beginning of the utterance to the location of syllable nucleus.
v1, i.e., t1 ∈ [0, v2], j = 1. Whereas for word medial syllable, t1 is chosen as the interval between previous and current syllable nuclei i.e., t1 ∈ [v2−1, v2], j ≠ 1.

3.4. Decision of GAE

Based on the presence of voiced consonant and a prior voicing information of target stops, the GAE is determined as

$$\hat{GAE}_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if Target = voiced stop & } d_{vc} = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if Target = unvoiced stop & } d_{vc} = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(5)

4. Results

The detection of GAE is illustrated in Figures 5 and 6. Figures 5(a)-(c) represent the speech waveform, ratio of ZFF to BPF (rzb) in dB, and low frequency dominant voiced consonant region evidence (dlfdvr) for the response of normal and CLP speakers for target word [dada], respectively. t1 and t2 are the search intervals associated with syllable nuclei v1 and v2, respectively for the detection of voiced consonants. Evidence dlfdvr in subplot (f) indicates the absence of glottal vibrations for the target voiced consonant associated with first syllable.

The detection of GAE is carried out at syllable level. Hence, the detected GAE i.e., $\hat{GAE}$ for each syllable is evaluated against the ground truth derived from the visual observation of waveform using PRAAT. Table 2 shows the detection rate of GAE=0 and GAE=1 for voiced and unvoiced target stops produced by normal and CLP speakers. Stops produced by controlled normal group do not possess any GAE, hence, only detection rate of GAE=0 is reported. Whereas for CLP speakers, detection rates of cases: GAE=0 and GAE=1 are reported. The overall accuracy of proposed system is found to be 88.96% and 92.33% for the target voiced and for unvoiced stops, respectively.

Table 2: Detection rates (DR) of glottal activity error present (GAE=1) and absent (GAE=0) for voiced and unvoiced target stops.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Normal (GAE=0)</th>
<th>CLP (GAE=0)</th>
<th>CLP (GAE=1)</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voiced stop</td>
<td>97.21</td>
<td>88.37</td>
<td>81.30</td>
<td>88.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unvoiced stop</td>
<td>97.90</td>
<td>95.13</td>
<td>85.95</td>
<td>92.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion

In this work, a signal processing based algorithm is proposed for the automatic detection of GAE during the production stop consonants in speakers with CLP. The low-frequency dominant voiced consonant evidence derived from the ZFFS and BPF is used to detect the GAEs. The detected GAEs are evaluated against the ground truth derived form PRAAT based waveform analysis. The proposed algorithm gives the information about the deviant glottal source mechanism during the production of stops. Hence, the GAE detection algorithm can be used as an assistive tool by SLPs for the better assessment of articulation errors produced by speakers with CLP.
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