Generating gender-ambiguous voices for privacy-preserving speech recognition

Dimitrios Stoidis, Andrea Cavallaro
Centre for Intelligent Sensing, Queen Mary University of London, UK
dimitrios.stoidis@qmul.ac.uk, a.cavallaro@qmul.ac.uk

Abstract

Our voice encodes a uniquely identifiable pattern which can be used to infer private attributes, such as gender or identity, that an individual might wish not to reveal when using a speech recognition service. To prevent attribute inference attacks alongside speech recognition tasks, we present a generative adversarial network, GenGAN, that synthesises voices that conceal the gender or identity of a speaker. The proposed network includes a generator with a U-Net architecture that learns to fool a discriminator. We condition the generator only on gender information and use an adversarial loss between signal distortion and privacy preservation. We show that GenGAN improves the trade-off between privacy and utility compared to privacy-preserving representation learning methods that consider gender information as a sensitive attribute to protect.

Index Terms: audio privacy, speech recognition, speaker verification, gender recognition, generative adversarial networks

1. Introduction

The human voice is shaped by the physical characteristics of the speaker and the spoken language. The voiceprint, which uniquely defines each individual [1], contains attributes of the speaker that can be inferred by voice-based services [2, 3]. To mitigate this risk and protect the identity of a speaker in Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) tasks, adversarial training on privacy and utility objectives can be used [4, 5]. Adversarial representation learning determines the network weights that minimise the likelihood of finding the correct labels corresponding to identity (or gender) and removes information related to these attributes from the encoded representation [4]. Training with a speaker-adversarial branch acting as a gradient reversal layer has been used to remove speaker identity from the learned representation [6]. Adversarial feature extraction is used to improve the privacy-utility trade-off, when gender classification is considered as the utility objective and speaker identification accuracy as the privacy objective [5].

Gender information is typically used to condition models preserving the identity of a speaker. However, only a handful of methods explicitly consider gender as a sensitive attribute to protect [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. A hybrid model combining Variational Autoencoders and Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) can be used to protect gender information through voice conversion with a disentanglement approach targeted for the speech recognition task [7]. Two encoders are trained to independently encode content and speaker identity information that is then used to hide (or mask) gender information. Privacy methods that operate at feature-level have been used to disentangle gender information from x-vectors [12] with adversarial learning and an encoder-decoder based architecture [8]. Because this adversarial method removes the unwanted information at the level of the feature representation instead of the speech waveform, it is not useful for tasks such as speech recognition. Vector Quantized Variational Autoencoders (VQ-VAE) [13] are used to create disentangled representations of linguistic content and speaker identity [9], and both identity and gender [11]. Linguistic content is quantised into a discrete latent space using a learned codebook. The decoding stage reconstructs the speech waveform by combining learned embedding spaces encoding the selected attributes. These methods have limited reconstruction capabilities and induce distortion at the decoding stage, when quantised content information is reconstructed as speech. PCMelGAN [10] synthesises speech using a generative adversarial approach that considers gender as an attribute to protect and reconstructs mel-scale spectrograms using the MelGAN vocoder [14] to maintain the utility (accuracy) in a digit recognition task. However, the dataset used by this work is composed of utterances of spoken digits, which is limited in vocabulary and size. Finally, PCMelGAN is based on PCGAN [15], which uses a filtering module to replace the sensitive information in speech with generated synthetic information. However, we will show that results can be improved without this additional process.

We aim to produce gender-ambiguous [16] voices. To this end we first determine the extent to which modifying gender information impacts the identity information of a speaker from a privacy-preservation perspective. Next, we produce a lightweight generative method that protects against the inference of gender and identity of synthesised speech signals. We achieve this without considering any identity information and maintain the utility of speech without explicitly optimising for the ASR task.

We propose GenGAN, a generative privacy transformation method that conceals gender and much of the identity information of speakers by synthesising voices with gender-ambiguous characteristics. To the best of our knowledge GenGAN is the first attempt to create gender-ambiguous voices in a privacy-preserving setting. The generator samples from a designed distribution that models a gender-ambiguous voice and learns to smooth spectral differences between genders. GenGAN is only conditioned on gender information, without considering any information on speaker identity during training. Furthermore, content information is preserved in the transformed signal independently of the ASR used for the speech transcription task.

2. Proposed approach

2.1. Attack scenario

Let the identity and gender of a speaker be the personal information to protect in an ASR scenario. An attacker attempts to infer, from the privacy-transformed audio, the gender of the speaker by classification and their identity by verification.

We assume that the attacker has access to the data (anonymised utterances) produced by the privacy-preserving
transformation and shared with the speech recognition service (see Fig. 1). We also assume the attacker has no knowledge of the applied privacy-transformation.

2.2. GenGAN

By assuming an attack scenario where voice signals are accessed prior to the downstream task (speech recognition), we are interested in reproducing voice and hence operate on the input waveforms, which are converted into 80-hand mel-spectrograms. We consider a Generator, \( G \), and a Discriminator, \( D \), to be trained in an adversarial game between privacy and utility objectives. \( G \) has a U-Net architecture [17] with a contracting and an expanding path, while \( D \) consists of a simple AlexNet [18] architecture. \( G \) produces audio data with the aim to fool \( D \), whose task is to discriminate between original and synthetic audio data. We maximise utility by minimising the distortion in the generated audio and minimise the risk of privacy inference by training the model to learn gender-ambiguous information. \( D \) learns to discriminate between true and generated gender data, conditioned only on gender information. By conditioning only on gender information, we aim to distort the generated voice to protect both gender and identity.

The Generator, \( G \), takes as input mel-spectrograms \( M \), a noise vector \( Z \) and the labels of the sensitive attribute to protect \( Y \), and synthesises the transformed spectrograms \( M' \) (see Fig. 2). During training, a batch of \( n \) audio signals, \( X \), and their corresponding labels, \( Y \), representing the sensitive attribute (gender) are sampled uniformly at random from the dataset:

\[
(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n) \sim X_{train}.
\]  

(1)

The audio signals \( X \) are converted to mel-spectrograms \( m_i \in M \forall i = 1, ..., n \), and normalized such that amplitudes are bounded in [0, 1] with

\[
m_i = \mathcal{FFT}(x_i),
\]

(2)

where \( \mathcal{FFT} \) is the Short-Time Fourier Transform.

As we consider a binary encoding for gender, we propose to sample from a synthetic distribution

\[
\{y_1, ..., y_n\} \sim YN \sim \mathcal{N}(0.5, 0.05).
\]

(3)

As \( \mathcal{N}(0.5, 0.05) \) is centred around 0.5 (i.e. equidistant from the ground-truth labels), \( G \) learns to smooth feature-level differences between the spectral representations of the two gender labels and synthesises a new voice that is gender-ambiguous.

We select a small distribution variance (\( \sigma^2 = 0.05 \)) for the synthetic distribution to minimise the overlap with the gender distributions. The noise vector \( Z \sim N(0, 1) \) is inserted at the bottleneck of \( G \), at the transition of the contracting to the expansive path to ensure synthesised voices are different from the original ones, increasing reconstruction variability. \( Z \) is reshaped and concatenated with the last convolutional layer ending the contracting path in UNet before being expanded back to a mel-spectrogram \( M' \).

The generator loss \( L_G \) is computed as an adversarial game between reducing distortion on utility and maximising privacy by learning a non-binary gender. We take the Mean Squared Error between \( M \) and \( M' \) as distortion loss \( L_\ell \) over the \( L_\ell \) distance used in PCMegaGAN to produce a smoother output. The adversarial loss \( L_a \) is a cross-entropy loss between ground-truth gender \( Y \) and predicted gender \( Y_F \), maximising the log probability of generating samples drawn from the synthetic distribution. The generator loss is the sum

\[
L_G = L_\ell(M, M') + \epsilon L_a(Y, Y_F),
\]

(4)

where \( \epsilon \in [0, 1] \) represents the dis-utility budget [19] in the privacy-utility trade-off.

The discriminator loss function is composed of two losses with respect to real \( M \) or generated spectrogram \( M' \). The real loss is taken between the prediction of \( D \) on real data \( Y_R \), and ground-truth gender \( Y \). The fake loss is taken between the prediction of \( D \) on generated data \( Y_F \), and non-binary gender \( Y_N \). Both losses are computed as cross-entropies:

\[
L_D = L_a(Y, Y_R) + L_a(Y_N, Y_F).
\]

(5)

Finally, to transform the generated spectrogram \( M' \) back to raw waveform \( X' \), we use the MelGAN vocoder [14], a non-autoregressive conditional waveform synthesis model.

Figure 3 shows sample spectrograms of different utterances spoken by a male and by a female speaker. The bottom
We consider the equal error rate (EER) to assess the speaker verification performance. In biometrics verification systems, a high accuracy corresponds to a low EER, as a higher rejection over false acceptance rate is desired. To assess privacy, the target randomness in verifying the speaker identity [22] corresponds to an EER around 50%.

We measure success in gender inference as the standard binary classification accuracy, where the sum of correct predictions is taken over the total number of predictions. As in speaker verification, the target accuracy is 50%, which represents randomness in gender prediction. We compute the discrepancy from the 50% randomness value both on gender recognition and speaker verification and introduce a new measure. The measure normalises the EER and the gender recognition accuracy (GR) such that the absolute difference from the 50% accuracy is retained. The normalised Gender Recognition (gr) and normalised Equal Error Rate (eer) perform conversions given by $gr = 100 - 2 \times |GR - 50|$, and $eer = 100 - 2 \times \frac{EER}{50}$. A value of 100 for $gr$ (or $eer$) denotes the highest level of privacy.

We use the transcription accuracy of the speech recognition results as the utility measure. The Word Error Rate (WER) represents the utility of the spoken signal and is computed by taking the Levenshtein [23] distance between the words of the correct, expected transcription and the transcription provided by the speech recognition service. To facilitate the comparison between privacy and utility, rather than the error rate we consider the correct recognition rate with the use of the Word Accuracy metric ($A_w$) [11, 24], where $A_w = 100 - WER$. $A_w = 100$ denotes no transcription errors.

3.2. Classifiers

For speech recognition, we use Quartznet [25], an end-to-end neural acoustic model trained with Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC) [26] loss, based on the Jasper architecture [27]. Our testing reported an initial performance on the LibriSpeech test-clean set of $A_w$ of 95.64% (or 4.36% WER).

For speaker verification, we extract speaker-identifying features with a modified version of ResNet-34 [28] with reduced computational cost, which we refer to as SpeakerNet [29], pre-trained on VoxCeleb2 [30] dataset and reported an EER of 5.73% in our experiments when tested on the LibriSpeech test-clean set.

For gender classification, we use a deep convolutional binary classifier trained on spectrograms with 5 stacked one-dimensional convolutional layers followed by batch normalisation and max pooling [11], which we refer to as GenderNet. The output layer is a fully connected layer that outputs a pair of predictions for each binary class, passing through a sigmoid function. We tested the gender classifier on the LibriSpeech clean test set and reported an accuracy of 91.37%.

3.3. Methods under comparison

We compare methods that consider gender as an attribute to protect in an attribute inference scenario. VQ-VAE-Gen [11] considers gender information as private and assesses the impact of the privacy-transformation on speaker identity on LibriSpeech. The Client-Hybrid [7] model protects gender information from inference by using speaker identity information and provides results on the same dataset. We also compare our method with PCMelGAN [10] anonymisation method which uses a filtering
process to remove gender information and conditions the model with identity information during training. We did not modify the architecture of PCMelGAN and trained it from scratch on the LibriSpeech clean train set. We improve and address the limitations in PCMelGAN’s pipeline with GenGAN’s implementation. We train PCMelGAN with the additional Filter network prior to the generation and keep the original loss function used in [10] for the same number of epochs and identical hyper-parameter setting. We use the same pre-trained MelGAN vocoder model in our experiments with PCMelGAN and GenGAN. We also use the same pre-trained models to evaluate the privacy tasks for GenGAN, PCMelGAN and VQ-VAE-Gen. For utility, we use the same ASR for GenGAN and PCMelGAN models for a fair comparison. We run all our experiments on a single Tesla V100 GPU with 32GB memory. The models were trained for 100 epochs by shuffling batches, a learning rate of 0.001 and Adam optimiser [31].

### 3.4. Discussion

In Tab. 1 “Original” refers to the original audio prior to any transformation. We also include the MelGAN [14] vocoder model to assess the distortion induced by the mel-spectrogram inversion and compare the effect of this operation on utility and privacy of the generated signal.

The values of privacy and utility for Client-Hybrid [7] and VQ-VAE-Gen [11] are taken from the literature. The values corresponding to the ‘Hybrid’ model with fine-tuning on two speakers were chosen for Client-Hybrid, and the ‘Random Gender’ (RG) setting [11] is reported. GenGAN shows the highest privacy with $\epsilon = 0.001$ and $\gamma = 0.50$. The MelGAN model is reported along with the Original signal to assess the impact of the spectrogram inversion without privacy-preserving transformation.

| Model            | Word Accuracy | EER * | GR * | Privacy
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A_w$ ↑</td>
<td>$eer$ ↑</td>
<td>$GR$ ↑</td>
<td>$gr$ ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
<td>95.64</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>11.46</td>
<td>91.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MelGAN [14]</td>
<td>93.22</td>
<td>17.42</td>
<td>34.84</td>
<td>89.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client-Hybrid [7]</td>
<td>71.54</td>
<td>51.88</td>
<td>96.24</td>
<td>50.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCMelGAN [10]</td>
<td>66.81</td>
<td>38.37</td>
<td>76.74</td>
<td>53.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GenGAN (ours)</td>
<td>76.64</td>
<td>38.37</td>
<td>76.74</td>
<td>53.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Comparison of privacy and utility results for various models on the LibriSpeech [21] test clean set. KEY – $A_w$: Word Accuracy computed with QuartzNet [25], EER: Equal Error Rate computed with SpeakerNet [29], $eer$: normalised EER, $GR$: Gender Recognition computed with GenderNet [11], $gr$: normalised Gender Recognition, RG (Random Gender) setting [11] is reported. ∗: a random accuracy close to 50% is desired for high privacy. Results for GenGAN are obtained with $\epsilon = 0.001$ and $\gamma = 0.50$. The MelGAN model is reported along with the Original signal to assess the impact of the spectrogram inversion without privacy-preserving transformation.

**Figure 4:** Comparisons of the privacy-utility trade-off for the methods compared in Table 1. Values close to the top-right corner denote higher utility and privacy. GenGAN provides the best utility and comparable privacy performance. Key – $A_w$: Word Accuracy, $gr$: normalised Gender Recognition, $eer$: normalised Equal Error Rate.

We proposed GenGAN, a generative adversarial network that synthesises gender-ambiguous voices that can be used for privacy-preserving speech recognition scenarios. The generator and discriminator are adversarially trained to limit the distortion in the resulting gender-ambiguous signal. Our model improves the privacy-utility trade-off with respect to existing methods.

Future work includes improving the signal reconstruction capabilities of the network without compromising privacy and assessing the naturalness of the generated voices with subjective evaluations.
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