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Abstract

This paper developed a new memory-augmented sequential learning based on a contrastive disentangled transformer. Conventionally, transformer is insufficient to characterize long sequences since the sequence length is restricted to avoid the requirement of large memory. A direct solution to handle this issue is to divide long sequence into short segments, but the context fragmentation will happen. In this paper, the contrastive disentangled memory is exploited to deal with the increasing computation cost as well as the large memory requirement due to long sequences. In particular, an informative selection over the disentangled memory slots is proposed for iterative updating in a large-span sequence representation. This paper maximizes the semantic diversity of memory slots and captures the contextual semantics via contrastive learning. The experiments on language understanding show that the context fragmentation is mitigated by the proposed method with reduced computation.
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1. Introduction

It is important to characterize long-term dependencies for sequential learning. Traditionally, recurrent neural network (RNN) or long short-term memory (LSTM) has been developed for sequence representation where the history information is recursively updated and condensed as a state vector. However, it is still insufficient to preserve large-span information in long sequences via recurrent networks. In [1], LSTM was analyzed to preserve the temporal information with a limited length in previous time steps. More recently, transformer [2, 3, 4, 5] has been explored for a variety of sequence-to-sequence learning tasks based on encoder-decoder framework. The key to a success using transformer is the self attention over sequence data via the transformer layer. This layer is learned to capture individual tokens as well as semantic contexts along a whole sequence. But, the shortcomings of transformer is caused by high computation due to full attention over a long string. The valid sequence length and contextual information in a real application is bounded. Such a shortcoming affects the deployment of a practical transformer in real world.

To handle the computation overhead and length limitation, there are two categories of solutions which are feasible to strengthen self attention in transformer. Firstly, the solutions based on sparse attention were proposed to reduce the computation and memory costs in full attention [6, 7]. In [8], a random window attention was exploited to alleviate the computation complexity of self attention along a long string sample. The second category of works was devoted to handle the length limitation. A direct solution was proposed by dividing an input string signal or sentence into segments to carry out transformer for sequence-to-sequence learning. Such a segment-wise attention is feasible to implement attention over long sequences. This block-wise attention served as a simple solution to run the attention on long sequences. An obvious weakness was that the sequence tokens could not access the context outside the segments which restricted the expressiveness of long-term dependencies beyond the predefined context length. To handle the context fragmentation, the transformer-XL [9] and compressive transformer [10] were proposed to design a first-in-first-out (FIFO) memory strategy to store the temporal information in history sentences in a fixed size of memory blocks. However, FIFO memory is likely redundant when saving large-span dependencies in a long sequence with the limited memory size.

This paper presents the contrastive disentanglement for sequence representation in a memory-augmented transformer where long-term features of input sequence is captured under the restriction of the predefined context length. To deal with the weakness of memory representation, there are twofold novelties proposed in this study. First, the information-theoretic disentanglement of memory slots is presented to enhance the semantic diversity of memory while reducing the memory redundancy. Second, a distinctive updating mechanism for memory slots instead of using FIFO method is developed to preserve the informative tokens and throw away the redundant tokens via a Gumbel-softmax function. Given the length of input sequence $T$, the proposed model architecture is feasible to reduce the time and memory complexities from $O(T^2)$ to $O(T)$ in inference stage while even increasing the classification performance in sequence-to-sequence learning tasks.

2. Learning for Transformer

2.1. Information-theoretic learning

This study presents a new disentangled memory representation using transformer based on the information-theoretic features which are surveyed as follows. Let $X$ denote the input sequence and $Y$ denote the output sequence which should be sufficiently preserved by informative mapping from $X$. Given their joint distribution $p(X, Y)$, a statistical dependency between $X$ and $Y$ can be measured by mutual information $I(X; Y)$. $Y$ implicitly determines the relevant and irrelevant features in $X$. Information bottleneck method is feasible to find the informative representation of $X$ which captures the relevant features and disregards the irrelevant features. In latent representation using transformer, we are finding the features $Z$ which are most relevant to $Y$ and simultaneously most compressed and irrelevant representation to $X$. The optimal representation $Z$ is estimated by maximizing $I(Z; Y)$ under a constraint on $I(X; Z)$.

The learning objective for $Z$ is given by $I(Y; Z) - \beta I(X; Z)$.
where $\beta$ is tuned to balance a tradeoff between the complexity of representation $I(X; Z)$ and the amount of the preserved relevant information $I(Y; Z)$. The learned features $Z$ preserves the information in $X$ which is useful to predict $Y$. In [11], the interpretable disentangled representation [12] was proposed with an annealing $\beta$ in variational inference.

2.2. Sparse transformer
Vanilla transformer is built by self-attention layers with multiple heads where the individual pairs of tokens are mutually attended. Learning sparse attention has recently attracted considerable interests. Compared with full attention, the sparse transformer [8] presented the factorization of attention computation into local and stride operations which handled different heads to extract various sparse patterns through random attention and local attention. Sparse transformers were generally categorized into three groups. The first category of methods reduced the computation by making the attention maps sparse in a predetermined way. Each token in the sequence only attended a fixed and small set of the other tokens rather than the whole sequence. In [8, 13], the auxiliary tokens were added to improve the connectivity of the observed tokens while maintaining the sparsity. This method was weak because the sparse model was independent of input sequence and therefore was not adjustable to new data. The second category of methods imposed the sparsity in the calculation of attention map. The third category of methods attempted to boost the advantages of those in the first two categories. These works learned the sparse patterns from sequence data using the k-means clustering [14] and locality-sensitive hashing to predict the connection between tokens [15, 16]. The sparse patterns were determined before computing the attention matrix. The drawback was that the extra computation was required to train the additional module. This study pursues the disentangled memory for interpretable attention.

2.3. Memory-augmented transformer
In [9], transformer-XL was proposed to continuously store and update the past temporal information in a first-in-first-out (FIFO) memory. This memory-augmented transformer divided the input sequence into short segments and stored their features in a memory block [17, 18, 19, 20] which recorded a history of previous context. This method analyzed the sequence data in an identical manner similar to vanilla transformer except that, for each segment, the features calculated by the transformer were saved and connected with those of the next segment in a gradient-free manner. This method was able to preserve a large span of context in a memory which helped understanding from long sequence data. Let the $t$-th segment of length $L$ [21] be denoted as $S_t = \{x_m^t\}_{m=1}^L$, $x_m^t \in \mathbb{R}^D$ and the hidden states or attended features $H_t$ be produced by $S_t$ based on the query $Q_t$, key $K_t$ and value $V_t$ via

$$
Q_t = W_qS_t, \quad K_t = W_k[f_{\text{softmax}}(H_{t-1}) \oplus S_t], \quad V_t = W_v[f_{\text{softmax}}(H_{t-1}) \oplus S_t], \quad H_t = \text{Attn}(Q_t, K_t, V_t)
$$

(1)

where $\oplus$ denotes the concatenation, $f_{\text{softmax}}(\cdot)$ denotes the stop-gradient function and $\{W_q, W_k, W_v\}$ denotes the model parameters. This calculation allows the model to access across previous segments from $t-1$ to $t$ which provide a larger amount of input information and therefore assure a richer expressiveness. When the model scans to the next segment, the last past features in the memory are discarded, the remaining features were shifted, and the new features from a new segment are serted in a FIFO manner. This paper focuses on the disentangled contextual representation from the tokens $X \leftarrow S_t$ and preserves the informative features of past segments in the memory $Y \leftarrow M_t$ as detailed in what follows.

3. Contrastive Disentangled Learning
In this study, the redundancy in memory-augmented representation is handled via the information-theoretic disentanglement [22]. Long sequences are represented by segment-based processing where large-span dependencies between segments are learned. The limitation of FIFO strategy in memory updating is tackled by an adaptive updating method using the Gumbel-softmax operation [23, 24] as a binary selector. The importance of tokens is emphasized via a self-updating over memory slots.

3.1. Self adaptation of memory slots
Let $M_t$ denote the memory at time $t$ and $X$ denote the input sequence which is split or segmented into different segments $S_t$ with a fixed length $L$. The adaptive memory updating is illustrated in Figure 1. The first goal of the representation is to learn how to compress the representation which contains contextual information of $L$ vectors in $S_t = \{x_m^t\}_{m=1}^L$. These vectors are compressed as the features $Z_t = \{z_m^t\}_{m=1}^L$ via an encoder with parameter $\theta$ and the outputs of mean $\mu(x_m^t)$ and variance $\Sigma(x_m^t)$ of a Gaussian distribution $z_m^t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu(x_m^t), \Sigma(x_m^t))$. These compressed features are selected and stored in the memory $M_t$. Similar to the previous variational networks [25], the compressed feature $z_m^t$ corresponding to the observed token $x_m^t$ is sampled from the variational distribution $q_{\phi}(z|x) = \mathcal{N}(\mu(x), \Sigma(x))$. The second part is to update the memory $M_t$ via an adaptive method based on the Gumbel-softmax function $g_{\phi}(\cdot) = \text{Gumbel-Softmax}(\cdot)$ with parameter $\phi$ [23]. A Bernoulli indicator or a binary mask $K$ is carried out over the concatenation of the latest memory $M_{t-1}$ and the segment of individual compressed vectors $Z_t = \{z_m^t\}_{m=1}^L$. Those vectors which are not selected by the trainable mask are disregarded. FIFO updating is replaced accordingly. Such a memory updating function $M_{t+1} = f_{\text{update}}(M_{t-1}, S_t)$ is yielded by

$$
Z_t = [z_1^t, \ldots, z_L^t], \quad x_m^t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu(x_m^t), \Sigma(x_m^t))
$$

$$
M = M_{t-1} \oplus Z_t, \quad K = g_{\phi}(\text{Attn}(M)W_q), \quad M_t = K \overline{M}.
$$

Here, $\text{Attn}(\cdot)$ is a self-attention function by using the concatenated memory $\overline{M}$ and $W_q$ is the parameter of a two-layer feedforward network with the outputs calculating the unnormalized log probability distributions for different memory slots. The distribution measures the probability of each memory slot remaining in the updated memory $M_t$. Notably, the probability generated by the masking layer is implemented by a Gumbel-softmax function where hard sampling of a binary mask is approximated. Different from FIFO strategy, a distinctive and adaptive scheme to memory updating is implemented. This updating consolidates the most relevant composition of memory slots for prediction of future context $S_{t+1}$.

3.2. Contrastive disentangled learning
Importantly, the redundant information in memory-augmented transformer is reduced via an informative disentanglement [26]. The learning objective is constructed to pursue the optimally compressed or disentangled memory $M_t$ corresponding to input segment $S_t$ by maximally preserving the information to predict
future segments \( \{S_{t+1}, \ldots, S_{t+k}\} \) and increasing the semantic diversity \( D(\cdot) \) of current memory by maximizing

\[
I(S_{t+k}; M_t) - \beta (I(S_t; M_t) - D(M_t)) \tag{2}
\]

where the second term is seen as a constraint. With \( \beta > 1 \), the memory \( M_t \) is pushed to learn a compact latent representation of input tokens, which is disentangled if the tokens in \( S_t \) contain the underlying variations that are independent of \( M_t \). At the same time, the memory is diverse by maximizing \( D(\cdot) \) and reflecting the semantic meaning of future information \( S_{t+k} \) as much as possible. The contrastive loss or InfoNCE loss [27] is used to estimate the mutual information between memory \( M_t \) and future segments \( S_{t+k} \). Considering a set of \( N_s \) segments \( S = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^{N_s} \) containing one positive sample from \( p(S_{t+k}|M_t) \) and \( N_s - 1 \) negative samples from \( S \) which is randomly picked from the segments in the same batch, we maximize

\[
\begin{align*}
I(S_{t+k}; M_t) &\approx -\mathcal{L}_{\text{InfoNCE}} = \mathbb{E}_S \left[ \log \frac{f(S_{t+k}, M_t)}{\sum_{S_i \in S} f(S_i, M_t)} \right] \tag{3}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f(S_{t+k}, M_t) \propto \frac{p(S_{t+k}|M_t)}{p(S_t|M_t)} \) is an estimate of density ratio. This study practically uses the average pooling to calculate the segment-level representation of memory \( M_t \) and segment \( S_{t+k} \), and then adopts the cosine similarity to calculate the density ratio between them. The objective \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{InfoNCE}} \) is seen as the categorical cross-entropy of classifying the positive sample correctly through the form \( \sum_{S_i \in S} \) for representing the prediction model. This prediction model corresponds to calculate the conditional probability of detecting a positive segment \( S_i \in S \). The probability \( p(S_i|S, M_t) \) of a segment \( S_i \) drawn from the conditional distribution \( p(S_i|M_t) \) rather than the proposal distribution \( p(S_i) \) is calculated by

\[
\begin{align*}
p(S_i|S, M_t) \prod_{j \neq i} p(S_j) &= \frac{p(S_i|M_t)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N_s} p(S_j|M_t)} \prod_{j \neq i} p(S_j) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
\]

Owing to high dimensionality of input features in \( S_t \), it is challenging to compute \( I(S_t; M_t) \) when the memory size increases. The efficient calculations for the diversity of memory and the mutual information between memory \( M_t \) and input segment \( S_t \) are required. In the implementation, the localized information [28] between input token \( x \) and compressed feature \( z \) is calculated. To enhance semantic diversity in the memory, the binary mask is estimated to drop the tokens that are redundant with similar meaning. Accordingly, the constraint loss \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{const}} \) in the second term of Eq. (2) is calculated for individual samples \( \{x_m^t, z_m^t\} \). Mutual information and diversity measure are calculated through Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence by

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{x_m^t \in S_t} I(x_m^t; z_m^t) - D(M_t) &= \sum_{x_m^t \in S_t} \text{KL}[p_{z|x}(x_m^t)||p(z)] \\
- \sum_{x_m^t \in B_t} \sum_{x_j^t \in B_t} \text{KL}[p_{z|x}(x_m^t)||p_{z|x}(x_j^t)] &\approx \mathcal{L}_{\text{const}} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( B_t \) is the buffer to store all original tokens at time \( t \). This constraint loss is minimized to encourage the memory learning a disentangled representation [11] as well as enhance the semantic diversity of the updated memory. The overall loss for contrastive disentangled memory is formed by \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{total}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{InfoNCE}} + \beta \mathcal{L}_{\text{const}} \). Minimizing the loss \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{total}} \) is equivalent to maximizing the bound of mutual information in Eq. (3). Notably, the training procedure for the contrastive disentangled memory is performed in a way of self-supervised sequential learning where an efficient memory updating is learned without any labeled data.

### 3.3. Contrastive disentangled transformer

The contrastive disentangled memory is then combined in transformer to construct the contrastive disentangled transformer (CDT) for supervised sequence-to-sequence learning. CDT is seen as a new transformer powered by the contrastive disentangled memory which is utilized to map from input sequence \( X = \{x_m^t\}_{m=1}^{T_m} \) to output sequence \( Y = \{y_n^t\}_{n=1}^{T_n} \) with different lengths. A sliding window with a fixed size \( L \) is used to split \( X \) into individual segments \( S = \{S_{i}^{N_s}\}_{i=1}^{N_t} \) with \( N_t = \lfloor \frac{T_m}{L} \rfloor \). To enhance the dependencies between different segments, this new transformer carries out the memory-augmented multi-head attention in the encoder with parameter \( \theta_e = \{W_q, W_k, W_v\} \)

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_t &= W_q S_t, \quad K_t &= W_k [M_{t-1} \oplus S_t] \\
V_t &= W_v [M_{t-1} \oplus S_t], \quad H_t = \text{Attn}(Q_t, K_t, V_t).
\end{align*}
\]

This encoder is operated by finding the memory-driven input features \( H = \{H_{i}^{N_t}\}_{i=1}^{N_t} \) where \( H_{i} = \text{Encoder}(S_i, M_{t-1}; \theta_e) \). Importantly, the contrastive disentangled memory, updated by \( M_t = \text{feed}(M_{t-1}, S_t) \) in Sec. 3.1 and trained by minimizing memory loss \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{mem}} \) in Eqs. (3)(5), is configured to build CDT. Importantly, a decoder with parameter \( \theta_d \) is incorporated to predict \( y_{n+1} \) of a target sequence \( y \) or \( \{y_{n+1}\}_{n=1}^{T_n} \) sequentially by given the previous tokens \( y_{1:n-1} \). Segmentation is run for learning the self-attention layers of decoder or the cross-attention layers between encoder and decoder. The conditional likelihood for prediction of an output sample \( y_{n+1} \) is calculated via the classifier or linear function \( p(y_{n+1}|y_{1:n-1}, X) = \text{Classifier}(y_{1:n-1}, H; \theta_d) \). This CDT is trained by minimizing the memory loss for disentangled updates as well as the classification loss for sequence generation

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{ct}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{mem}} + \mathbb{E}_{X,Y \sim p(X,Y)} [- \log p(Y|X)]. \tag{6}
\]

### 4. Experiments

#### 4.1. Experimental setup

WMT dataset was used to evaluate the proposed method for machine translation where 4M, 6K, and 7K pairs of sentences were used for training, validation and testing, respectively. Byte pair encoding [29] with 32K merge operations were employed
to segment the words into subword units. All attention models were built with 6 blocks. Using WMT, the dimensions of word embeddings, hidden states and heads were 512, 1024 and 8, respectively. The evaluations were done by using FAIRSEQ framework [30]. All of the models were composed by an additional convolution module for subsampling, consisting of two layers of 2D-convolution with ReLU activation, stride size 2, 256 channels and kernel size 3. The dimensions of blocks, hidden states and heads in all attention models were 6, 1024, and 8, respectively. In WMT, all models were trained from scratch with Adam optimizer with initial learning rate 1e-3, hyperparameter $\beta$ and memory slot number were set to 1.1 and 10, respectively. The dropout rate for attention and feed-forward layers was set as 0.1. The proposed CDT (also denoted as the disentangled transformer) was compared with four strong baselines including transformer [2], sparse transformer [8], transformer-XL [9] and compressive transformer [10, 31].

4.2. Experimental results

Table 1 reports the results using WMT dataset. Inference time relative to transformer is shown. In WMT En-De and English-to-French (En-Fr), CDT obtains the highest BLEU in comparison with other efficient transformers, and also attains the BLEU close to transformer but with one-third inference time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>En→De</th>
<th>En→Fr</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transformer</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>1x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparse Transformer</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>0.39x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer-XL</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>0.31x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Transformer</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>0.36x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disentangled Transformer</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>0.33x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Results of BLEUs and costs on WMT En-De and En-Fr.

A number of analyses are performed. Diversity analysis is first investigated. The embedding vectors in a group of texts can be treated as a cluster in high-dimensional space. Specifically, the shape of an isocontour of a cluster is seen as an axis-aligned ellipsoid in $\mathbb{R}^r$ where $D$ is the embedding dimension. A diversity metric [32] is measured to estimate the cluster’s dispersion or spreadness via a generalized sense of the radius. The diversity metric is defined as the geometric mean of radius across all axes by $D_{\text{cluster}} = (r_1 r_2 \cdots r_D)^{\frac{1}{D}}$ where $r_i$ is the radius or the standard deviation of the cluster along the $i$-th axis. Table 2 shows that the semantic diversity using CDT memory is much larger than those memories in compressive transformer and transformer-XL. With a memory containing richer diversity, CDT receives better disentangled representation than the other transformers using FIFO memory in machine translation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Diversity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transformer-XL</td>
<td>2.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Transformer</td>
<td>2.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disentangled Transformer</td>
<td>5.253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Results of the diversity of memory on WMT En-Fr.

The updating algorithm in a memory system is crucial. Using the FIFO strategy, the memory blocks were deleted, shifted and added in a regular order without considering how often they were accessed before. However, the optimal memory updating algorithm needs to make sure that the system can swap out unnecessary slot when a slot needs to be swapped in, which is very hard to be achieved in practice. To address this issue, contrastive disentangled memory is designed to mimic the optimal algorithm by utilizing the contrastive learning. As shown in Table 3, CDT outperforms FIFO memory-augmented transformers even with a smaller number of memory slots.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Memory Slots</th>
<th>BLEU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transformer-XL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer-XL</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer-XL</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disentangled Transformer</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disentangled Transformer</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disentangled Transformer</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: BLEUs under different size of memory slots on WMT.

Different methods are further investigated by language modeling in presence of long sequences where the document-level context using WikiText-103 is adopted to evaluate the long-term dependencies. This dataset contains 103M training tokens from 28K articles with an averaged length of 3.6K tokens per article. In the implementation, the attention length, memory size, layer size, head size and other hyperparameters are referred to those of transformer-XL [9]. Table 4 shows that the perplexity using word-level CDT is lower than those of character-level transformer [9] and word-level sparse transformer and transformer-XL. The increase of parameter size using CDT relative to transformer-XL is limited. The proposed CDT is seen as a general approach to different lengths of training and test sequences for language understanding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>#Params</th>
<th>Perplexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transformer-XL</td>
<td>128M</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparse Transformer</td>
<td>156M</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer-XL</td>
<td>151M</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disentangled Transformer</td>
<td>153M</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Model sizes and perplexities on WikiText-103.

5. Conclusions

This paper has presented a distinctive and adaptive memory updating to preserve informative elements and discard the redundant elements. Latent disentanglement and memory updating were performed by maximizing the information-theoretic objective with Gumbel-softmax operation. With the enriched memory, transformer-based model could handle long sequences with a linear computational complexity and a constant memory complexity. This improvement tackled the issue of memory limitation and redundant information in transformers. From the experiments on three sequential learning tasks, the disentangled memory was demonstrated to be effective to memorize the past information with a small size of memory space. The experimental results showed that this transformer outperformed the other transformers and even achieved comparable performance with vanilla transformer but under a relatively low computation time.
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