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Abstract

Investigations on linguistic prosody related to non-native English speech by South Indians were carried out using a database specifically meant for this study. Prosodic differences between native and non-native speech samples of regional language groups: Kannada, Tamil, and Telugu were evaluated and compared. This information is useful in applications such as Native Language Identification. It is observed that the mean value of pitch, and the general variation of pitch contour is higher in the case of non-native English speech by all the three groups of speakers, indicating accommodation of speaking manner. This study finds that dynamic variation of pitch is the least for English speech by native Kannada language speakers. The increase in standard deviation of pitch contour for non-native English speech by Kannada speakers is much less at about 3.7% on an average. In the case of Tamil and Telugu native speakers, it is 9.5% and 27% respectively.

Index Terms: Pitch, regional accent, prosody, Indian English, pitch contour, standard deviation.

1. Introduction

Perceptually salient cues matching human capabilities, by which different language groups can be identified, are useful in categorizing speakers [1]. Humans use prosodic information additionally, to judge accents, which also helps them to differentiate languages easily [2]. In a spoken language, prosody describes the patterns of stress, intonation, and fluency. Accent of a particular language group has distinct prosody. Addition of this knowledge would improve automatic speaker [3]. Non-native speech deviate from native speech basically in prosodic features: Fluency, word stress and intonation. Prosody is linked to the syllables of a language, which deviate in terms of melody, timing and stress. The long term speaker-specific characteristics such as pitch gestures, accents and stress in a spoken utterance mainly constitute the Prosodic cues [4]. Apart from vocabulary and grammar, acquisition of prosody, which vary among languages is important for language learning [5]. Analysis and classification of utterances that belong to specific groups of learners is the main objective of Native Language Identification (NLI) [6]. Dynamics of \( F_0 \) reflecting certain learned traits is important in technological application of accent characteristics for forensics and security [7, 8]. To understand the role of intonation and syllable stress in the foreign accent, dynamics of pitch contour across three different language groups in South India: Kannada (KAN), Tamil (TAM), and Telugu (TEL) were studied.

Previous studies on the differences between native and non-native speech provided clear separation of fluency and duration related features (duration of phones and speech rate). The variations of intonation (pitch contour) were investigated mostly for a set of two languages [9]. The study by [10] observed that the speech style affects the \( \text{pitch range} \), which is a linguistically relevant aspect of pitch. Prosodic or suprasegmental features involve pitch and intensity of the speech signal, and are controlled by the laryngeal activity [11]. These are very important issues when learning a foreign language [12]. Prosodic contours were used to evaluate the expressiveness of the read speech produced by both native and non-native speakers [13, 14]. These variations correspond to pitch, intensity and length at the perceptual level. Languages have characteristic sound patterns, pitch contours are widely used for decoding this acoustic signature as in language identification (LID) [15]. The source at acoustic level approximately represents prosody at the linguistic level. The levels of separation between native and non-native speech can be in terms of standard deviation (SD) of \( F_0 \) contour. The non-native corpora exhibits greater \( F_0 \) SD and higher overall pitch quantiles [16]. A hierarchy of speech characteristics, related speaker traits, and possible speech features are listed in Table 1.

Producing correct prosody to achieve a natural and fluent pronunciation when compared with native speaker is a very relevant issue in Computer Aided Language Learning (CALL) [5, 13, 17]. In the context of prosody, intonation is more useful than energy and duration features. Native traits located at word and sentence levels help listeners structure the speech signal. These suprasegmental parameters can be used to model non-native English prosody [18]. Text-Independent methods can also be employed to study the degree of nativeness, by analysing the pitch contour. To estimate \( F_0 \) correctly and compare among native and non-native languages, a model that does not incorporate any phonology of the specific languages is desired [19]. The problem of pronunciation quality evaluation in non-native English speech from intonation point of view is aimed in this study.

English is widely used second language in India and elsewhere in the world [20]. Many users of services such as education, security and forensics use English non-natively. Non-native prosodic traits limit proficiency in a second language, and also the mutual understanding. Identification of speakers, classification of their dialectal zones are important tasks in a multilingual country like India [21, 22]. Developing an accent classification algorithm that can extract the necessary information to identify the linguistic zones is the main objective of present research. The \( F_0 \) patterns were examined in the three regional varieties of English speech to bring out information pertaining to the identification of native language. The goal is to evaluate the use of suitable features of non-native prosody, which help in predicting the the type of foreign accent from text-independent South Indian English speech. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the details of participants and material in speech data. Section 3 describes the techniques available for assessment of linguistic prosody, and
Table 1: Summary of speaker traits and related speech features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speech characteristic (Idiolect, Semantics, Pronunciations, Idiosyncrasies)</th>
<th>Speaker trait</th>
<th>Speech feature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lexical, Syntactic</td>
<td>Socio economic</td>
<td>Vocabulary, Word arrangement &amp; grammatical cues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosodic (Rhythm, Intonation, articulation rate etc.)</td>
<td>Personality type, Parental influences</td>
<td>Durational features. Pitch dynamics, Energy (likely to be Text/time dependent).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level acoustic features</td>
<td>Anatomical structure of speaker’s vocal apparatus</td>
<td>Short-time spectrum, Predictor coefficients, Intensity, Pitch.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 4 presents a procedure for prosodic characterization of accent. Experiments that were carried out and results obtained are given in section 5, and the key observations are discussed in section 6. Conclusions drawn are presented in Section 7.

2. Database

Manifestation of foreign accent as distinct patterns of articulatory behavior in the multilingual Indian scenario is considered in this study. Using high-level information to further enhance short-time, cepstral based systems has been popular in mainstream speaker recognition technology [6]. Current study presents a case when large resources of spoken English utterances to train speaker identification system parameters are not available [24]. This corpus is developed to explore the linguistic features that constitute the prosodic typology. Native speakers of Telugu (ISO 639-3 tel), Tamil (ISO 639-3 tam), and Kannada (ISO 639-3 kan) produced comparable utterances in English and in their native languages. These languages are divergent and differ in the amount of Sanskrit they contain. Indian languages are mostly syllable-timed, whereas English is stress-timed. Studies on melody metric indicates Telugu is syllable timed whereas Tamil is Mora timed. [4, 25]

2.1. Participants

Totally 120 speakers participated in the study. The speech material comprises of South Indian speakers speaking in one of the three native languages or in non-native English. The speakers are separated into two sets. The first set consisted of speakers with only one recording, speaking either in one of the native languages mentioned above, or in English. The second set consisted of recordings of speakers speaking in both native language and in English. The native speech data base consisted of recordings of 20 native speakers in each of the three languages KAN, TAM, and TEL. A total of 6000 seconds of speech database is developed for each of the above three native languages. These details are shown in Table 2. A different subset of speakers, from each the above mentioned language groups, who are capable of speaking English is selected as the non-native speech set. This set of data base contained of English utterance of the speakers belonging to one of the above three languages as mother tongue. English test sample for a duration of 60 seconds is collected from 25 speakers in each native language group. The details of these non-native English speakers are presented in Table 3. The non-native English utterances are recorded under identical conditions as native speech samples. English speech samples are collected from a set of speakers with wide ranging geographical distribution within a state. The speakers in the native set are considered representative of the regional languages KAN, TAM and TEL. Compatriots of these speakers who are more exposed to reading, listening and even talking English are considered for present analysis.

2.2. Speech Material and procedure

Recordings of speakers were made in quiet office room conditions using Logitech h110 microphone. It was ensured that data is as balanced as possible. Recordings of the speech are made with text material from general topics, mostly on Personality development, and technical literature, with speakers reading aloud under relaxed conditions. Gender weightages are almost equally distributed in both the native and non-native data sets. Age groups are according to SPEECON convention [26], with a bias of the younger age groups. The speakers in the native set are so chosen that they are not from border places of neighbouring states, thus avoiding dialectal variation. Most of the speakers in the non-native English speech set are closely associated with academic institutes. Speakers removed from the consideration of the non-native speech set are the English teaching faculty, and those who are convent educated.

3. Assessment of Linguistic Prosody

Prosodic structure is a critical aspect of language contact, and gives important information related to the speaking habit of a person [4]. Prosodic phenomena located on word level and above, help listeners to structure the speech signal and to process the linguistic content successfully. Empirical studies substantiated that hardly any second language learner after critical period manage to acquire consistently native pronunciation. The characteristic speaking style of an individual is influenced by his/her native language [27]. Non-native speaker of English is likely to use phonemic inventory, and prosodic patterns of her/his native language [15]. The English prosody of Kannada, Tamil, and Telugu speakers can sound strange as they do not display the native stucture of English. An attempt is made in the present study to capture prosodic idiosyncrasies of speakers belonging to different native language groups [28].

<p>| Table 2: Distribution of native speech set. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Native language</th>
<th>No. of speakers</th>
<th>Duration(sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KAN</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAM</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEL</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Table 3: Distribution of non-native speech set. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Native language</th>
<th>No. of speakers</th>
<th>Duration(sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KAN</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAM</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEL</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Major Text Independent features used in prosodic analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prosodic features</th>
<th>Factors that influences speech</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dynamics of $F_0$ contour</td>
<td>Identity of sound unit, its position with respect to phrase or word; Speaking style of the speaker; Intonation rules of the language; Type of sentence (Interrogative, Declarative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intonation, Rhythm, Stress</td>
<td>Attitudinal, Accentual, Discourse, Grammatical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.1. Acoustic Analysis of Pitch Contours within and across Linguistic groups

Linguistic prosody is manifested in terms of changes in fundamental frequency ($F_0$), duration and energy [4]. Prosody probably plays an auditory role similar to that played by facial expression and body language in the visual world. Prosody between languages varies greatly and pitch contour is one of the prosodic cues that can be extracted directly from speech signal. Pronunciation quality evaluation from intonation point of view in second language learning is yet to be explored fully [5, 17]. The melodic features of regional accent is an outcome of both spectral changes and pitch variation. Use of prosodic information to enhance state-of-art acoustic systems has been very popular [29]. In addition to intonation (pitch contour), which is reflected in the change of $F_0$ in utterances, prosody encompasses patterns of phoneme and pausing durations [30]. Table 4 shows some of the features useful for detecting non-native speech without annotation of prosodic events. Prosodic statistics are usually obtained by performing different measurements of energy, duration and pitch, which are derived supra segmentally [32]. The rate of speech and pause patterns are other available cues that would provide valuable information regarding speaker class and condition. Representation of the pitch contour with suitable features can bring out the language-specific information present in it.

### 3.2. Imitation aspect of Language Learning

Recent technologies require understanding of the characteristics of speech to create systems where machine dialogues to be tailored to particular group of clients. The background of speaker plays an important role when learning a foreign language [33]. Learners having different native languages belonging to related linguistic backgrounds have similar developmental processes when acquiring a second language. In the process of learning both native and foreign languages, imitation is used. It is also used for accommodation of speaking manner in a community [34]. Most clear process of imitation in language acquisition is phonetic and phonologival reproduction. The learned speaking habits are usually influenced by the social environment and also by native language in the critical period of learning, which identify where a person is from regionally [35]. Dynamics of $F_0$ contour related to a sound unit are affected by factors such as identity of sound unit, its position corresponding to the phrase/word, its context, the speaking style of the particular speaker, intonation rules of the language, type of the sentence etc. [36]. Apart from non-deliberate factors mentioned above, deliberate modifications vary across speakers. Voice imitation provides an opportunity to investigate aspects of human voice needed to be altered to deceive a listener.

![Figure 1: Typical $F_0$ contours of Native speech and Non-native English of (a) Kannada, (b) Tamil, and (c) Telugu native speakers (using Wave Surfer tool [37])](image)

### 4. Intonational differences across Native and Non-native speech

Intonation is one of the most certain features that makes it possible for a native speaker in recognizing whether an utterance has been produced by a native speaker or not [17]. Intonation helps in identifying the grammatical structure in speech, similar to punctuation in writing. Listeners can discern a speaker’s regional accent from hearing the intonation alone in filtered speech [38, 39]. Linguistic features constituting a prosodic typology include lexical accent, intonation and rhythm. To convey a wide variety of meanings or situations, within the framework of grammar, intonation is used. Understanding the role of $L_1$ typology in $L_2$ intonation needs investigations [8]. Prosody describes the patterns of stress, intonation and pausing in a spoken language, intonation is reflected in the change of fundamental frequency ($F_0$) in utterances. The differences in the physical structure of the vocal folds among speakers is manifested in the variation of the distribution of $F_0$ values. Different speaking style and accent among different speakers is reflected in differences in dynamics of $F_0$ contour and energy contour. When the same text is repeated by a given speaker, the characteristics of $F_0$ contour are consistent, and this is true across speakers. Pitch movement is an important feature of the melodic component of the non-native speech [5]. In most of the South Indian cities, at least three languages are spoken: the official language of that state, English and the one of the languages of neighbour-
Table 5: Typical statistics of $F_0$ contour for native and non-native speech for single male and female speaker from each native language group Kannada, Tamil, and Telugu.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language Group</th>
<th>SD when speaking native language MALE</th>
<th>SD when speaking English MALE</th>
<th>SD when speaking native language FEMALE</th>
<th>SD when speaking English FEMALE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KANNADA</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAMIL</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TELUGU</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Percentage increase in Standard Deviation of pitch contour from native language speech to English speech (using two non-overlapping sets of 20 speakers from each native language group Kannada, Tamil, and Telugu.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language Group</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kannada</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamil</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telugu</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference in and foreign accents in the speech data described in section 2.1(a), (b), and (c) shows the pitch frequency contours of native speakers whose back ground is described in section 2. Figures 1(a), (b), and (c) shows the pitch frequency contours of native and foreign accents in the speech data described in section 2. The difference in $F_0$ contour between native and non-native speech for a single male speaker from each group cab be clearly seen from these figures.

Experiments were also conducted on native and non-native speech samples of bilingual and multilingual speakers. Twenty exemplars were analysed from each of the language groups KAN, TAM, and TEL. All the speakers were asked to speak in their mother tongue, English a and in some cases in other Indian language spoken in the neighbouring states in which they have the working knowledge. Table 5 shows typical standard deviations (SD) of pitch frequencies for speech data of native speech uttered by a male speaker in each language group, and that of the same speakers in their non-native English speech. It is evident from Table 5 that the SD is higher in non-native speech in all the three language groups for both male and female. It can be observed from deviation scores presented in Table 6, that in general, native Kannada language speakers when speaking English, has smaller variation in $F_0$ values compared to native Tamil or Telugu speakers, in spite of the variation in speaker characteristics.

6. Discussion on Prosodic Analysis of South Indian Languages

As expected from previous studies on non-native English production, the non-native read speech showed greater $F_0$ standard deviations and higher overall pitch.

- This study explored the main question of evaluating the prosodic competence by studying the accent patterns of non-native English speech produced by three native language groups in South India.

- The results obtained clearly indicate that the mean value of non-native pitch is markedly high in the case non-native speakers in all the three groups.

- The results also indicates that the speakers of all the three language groups are trying to accommodate his/her pitch to suit a different social group.

- Prosodic differences in the native and English speech by South Indian speakers were detected without annotations of prosodic events.

Imitation for the purpose of integration into a social community by adjusting the speech intonation attributes of fundamental frequency in the non-native English speech has been demonstrated.

7. Conclusions

Following are the conclusions which can be made from comparison between the prosodic characteristics with respect to the pitch frequency contours of non-native speakers corresponding to the three linguistic groups and are found to be different. The results revealed that the $F_0$ contour in the regional variant of English produced by native Kannada speakers is significantly different from those of other two groups, in terms of the mean and dynamics. Automatic evaluation of non-native speech which includes characteristics of the mother tongue will have better performance over similar algorithms that depend upon target languages. This is particularly true when the text uttered is unknown, present approach allows us to examine the local prosodic changes without incorporating any phonology of the specific languages.

Future investigations are planned for different speech styles, and the composition and characteristics of speaker population to be carefully scrutinised, including multi disciplinary information. Need for inclusions of more number of languages particularly languages of Eastern India. Use of pitch contours to train models of non-native English speech by Indians await future investigations. Also, prosody linked to paralinguistic and non linguistic information need to be analysed.
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